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In this talk: Review of work on *Hopfions in Faddeev’s model*, done in collaboration with P. Salo and J. Jäykkä.
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3D-unit vectors can be represented by points on the surface of the sphere $S^2$.

Asymptotically trivial: $\mathbf{n}(r) \rightarrow \mathbf{n}_\infty$, when $|r| \rightarrow \infty$

$\Rightarrow$ can compactify $\mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow S^3$.

Therefore

$$\mathbf{n} : S^3 \rightarrow S^2.$$ 

Such functions are characterized by the Hopf charge, i.e., by the homotopy class $\pi_3(S^2) = \mathbb{Z}$. 
A concrete Hopfion

Example of vortex ring with Hopf charge 1:

\[ n = \left( \frac{4(2xz - y(r^2 - 1))}{(1 + r^2)^2}, \frac{4(2yz + x(r^2 - 1))}{(1 + r^2)^2}, 1 - \frac{8(r^2 - z^2)}{(1 + r^2)^2} \right). \]

where \( r^2 = x^2 + y^2 + z^2. \)
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- \( n = (0, 0, 1) \) at infinity (in any direction).
- \( n = (0, 0, -1) \) on the ring \( x^2 + y^2 = 1, \ z = 0 \) (vortex core).

---

**Computing the Hopf charge:**

Given \( n : \mathbb{R}^3 \to S^2 \) define \( F_{ij} = \epsilon_{abc}n^a\partial_i n^b\partial_j n^c. \)

Given \( F_{ij} \) construct \( A_j \) so that \( F_{ij} = \partial_i A_j - \partial_j A_i, \) then

\[ Q = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \int \epsilon^{ijk} A_i F_{jk} \ d^3 x. \]
Phase Diagram of Vortices in Superfluid $^3$He-A
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Four alternative but topologically different structures of vorticity exist in rotating $^3$He-A. As a function of magnetic field ($H$) and rotation velocity ($\Omega$), we identify with NMR the type of vortex which is nucleated during cooling from the normal to the superfluid phase. The measurements are compared to the calculated equilibrium phase diagram of vortices in the $H-\Omega$ plane at temperatures $T < T_c$. Slow transitions are found to reproduce the calculated equilibrium state.

FIG. 1. Four vortex structures of rotating $^3$He-A: continuous unlocked vortex (CUV), vortex sheet (VS), singular vortex (SV), and locked vortex (LV1). The arrows denote the orientation of $\hat{I}$ in the x-y plane. The rotation axis $\Omega$ is parallel to z. The shaded area marks the “soft core” of the unlocked vortices (CUV, VS, and SV) where $\hat{d}$ and $\hat{I}$ deviate from each other. In the LV, $\hat{a}$ and $\hat{I}$ follow each other everywhere. The $\hat{I}$ field is continuous with the exception of the SV, where $\hat{I}$ is not defined in the “hard core.” In all cases the vorticity has periodicity in the x-y plane, but the complete periodic unit is depicted for the LV1 only. For the VS one full periodic unit in the x direction is shown; by stacking these units one after another, its soft core becomes a continuous sheet. The CUV is equivalent to one period of the VS, when it is bent and closed to a cylinder. The length scales are 0.01 and 10 $\mu$m for the hard and soft cores, respectively, and 200 $\mu$m (at $\Omega = 1$ rad/s) for the unit cell.
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Vakulenko and Kapitanskii (1979): a lower limit for the energy,

\[ E \geq c |Q|^{\frac{3}{4}}, \]

where \( c \) is some constant, and \( Q \) the Hopf charge.

Similar upper bound has been derived recently by Lin and Yang.
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Latitude is invariant under global gauge rotations that keep the north pole fixed, therefore we plot equilatitude surfaces (e.g., tubes around the core) defined by \( \{ \mathbf{x} : \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathbf{n}_\infty = c \} \).

Longitudes are represented by colors on the equilatitude surface (under a global gauge rotation only colors change): we paint the surfaces using longitudes.
Isosurface \( n_3 = 0 \) (equator) for \( |Q| = 1, 2 \)

Color order and handedness of twist determine Hopf charge. Inside the torus is the core, where \( n_3 = -1 \).
Evolution of linked unknots

Total charge = sum of individual charges + linking number
Energy evolution in minimization

![Graph showing energy evolution over iterations for various configurations: 2+2+2, 1+1+2, 1-1+2, 1-1-2, 2+2-2, 2-2+2, 2-2-2, 1+1-2.](image)
Evolution $(1, 5) \rightarrow 1 + 2 + 2$
Evolution $5 + 4 - 2 \rightarrow \text{trefoil}$
Vakulenko bound

Filled circles give the best result (global minima) we have for given Hopf charge; open squares are the results of Battye and Sutcliffe.
Different and improved final states

1+2+2
1+3+2
2+2+2
The proper knot theoretical setting is to use **framed links**. Framing attached to a curve adds local information near the curve, e.g., twisting around it.
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One way to describe framed links is to use **directed ribbons**, which are preimages of line segments.
For a ribbon define:

- **twist** = linking number of the ribbon core with a ribbon boundary, locally.
- **writhe** = signed crossover number of the ribbon core with itself.
- **linking number** = $\frac{1}{2}$ (sum of signed crossings)

The Hopf charge can be determined either by twist + writhe or linking number of the two ribbon boundaries, or linking number of the preimages of any pair of regular points.
Computing the charge

For a ribbon define:

- **twist** = linking number of the *ribbon core* with a *ribbon boundary*, locally.
- **writhe** = signed crossover number of the *ribbon core* with itself.
- **linking number** = $\frac{1}{2}$ (sum of signed crossings)

The **Hopf charge** can be determined either by
- twist + writhe
or
- linking number of the two ribbon boundaries,
or
- linking number of the preimages of any pair of regular points.
Two ways to get charge \(-2\): twice around small vs. large circle. The first one has twist \(-1\), writhe \(-1\), the second twist \(-2\), writhe \(0\).

Both have boundary linking number \(-2\).
Example of ribbon deformation during minimization
Close-up of the deformation process
Diagrammatic rule for deformations

Knot deformations correspond to ribbon deformations, e.g., crossing and breaking, but the Hopf charge will be conserved.

Note that when considering equivalence of ribbon diagrams type I Reidemeister move is not valid: $\neq$
What is different with vortices

- Vortices do not allow 1-point compactification of $\mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow S^3$.
- Instead we have $\mathbb{R}^2 \times T$ if periodic in $z$-direction or $T^3$ if periodic in all directions.

Topological conserved quantities studied by Pontrjagin in 1941, They are mainly related to vortex punctures of the periodic box.
Single twisted vortex


Knotting as usual if tightly wound:

(a) (b) (c) (d) (e)
Vortex bunches and unwinding

If the vortices are close enough there can be bunching or in the fully periodic case, Hopfion unwinding.
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Vortex bunches and unwinding

If the vortices are close enough there can be bunching or in the fully periodic case, Hopfion unwinding.


In the following pictures the lines corresponding to two preimages have been plotted, one in blue and one in red.

First a $3 \times 3$ section of the fully periodic case.
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