Wen-Xiu Ma^{1,*}, Huiqun Zhang^{1,2} and Jinghan Meng¹

¹ Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of South Florida, Tampa, FL 33620-5700, USA.

² College of Mathematical Science, Qingdao University, Qingdao, Shandong 266071, P.R. China.

Received 25 June 2013; Accepted (in revised version) 26 July 2013

Available online 31 August 2013

Abstract. A non-semisimple matrix loop algebra is presented, and a class of zero curvature equations over this loop algebra is used to generate bi-integrable couplings. An illustrative example is made for the Dirac soliton hierarchy. Associated variational identities yield bi-Hamiltonian structures of the resulting bi-integrable couplings, such that the hierarchy of bi-integrable couplings possesses infinitely many commuting symmetries and conserved functionals.

AMS subject classifications: 37K05, 37K10, 35Q53.

Key words: Integrable coupling, matrix loop algebra, Hamiltonian structure.

1. Introduction

Zero curvature equations on semi-direct sums of loop algebras generate integrable couplings [1, 2], and the associated variational identities [3, 4] furnish Hamiltonian structures and bi-Hamiltonian structures of the resulting integrable couplings [5–7]. It is an important step in generating Hamiltonian structures to search for non-degenerate, symmetric and ad-invariant bilinear forms on the underlying loop algebras [8, 9]. Special semi-direct sums of loop algebras bring various interesting integrable couplings [8–12], including higher dimensional local bi-Hamiltonian ones [13–16] that greatly enrich multicomponent integrable systems.

A zero curvature representation of a system of form

$$u_t = K(u) = K(x, t, u, u_x, u_{xx}, \cdots),$$
(1.1)

http://www.global-sci.org/eajam

^{*}Corresponding author. *Email addresses:* mawx@cas.usf.edu (W.X. Ma), zhanghuiqun@qdu.edu.cn (H.Q. Zhang), jmeng@mail.usf.edu (J.H. Meng)

where *u* is a column vector of dependent variables, means there exists a Lax pair [17] $U = U(u, \lambda)$ and $V = V(u, \lambda)$ belonging to a matrix loop algebra such that

$$U_t - V_x + [U, V] = 0 (1.2)$$

generates the system [18]. An integrable coupling of the system (1.1) is an integrable system of the following form [13,14]:

$$\bar{u}_t = \bar{K}_1(\bar{u}) = \begin{bmatrix} K(u) \\ S(u, u_1) \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \bar{u} = \begin{bmatrix} u \\ u_1 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad (1.3)$$

where u_1 is a new column vector of dependent variables. Further, an integrable coupling (1.3) is called nonlinear if the supplementary sub-vector field $S(u, u_1)$ is nonlinear with respect to the sub-vector u_1 [19,20], and an integrable system of the form

$$\bar{u}_{t} = \bar{K}(\bar{u}) = \begin{bmatrix} K(u) \\ S_{1}(u, u_{1}) \\ S_{2}(u, u_{1}, u_{2}) \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \bar{u} = \begin{bmatrix} u \\ u_{1} \\ u_{2} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad (1.4)$$

is called a bi-integrable coupling of (1.1). In (1.4), it is notable that S_2 depends on the second column sub-vector u_2 but S_1 does not. We now proceed to use zero curvature equations in order to explore the generation of bi-integrable couplings and Hamiltonian structures for the resulting integrable couplings, through variational identities associated with enlarged Lax pairs.

One class of important integrable couplings consists of the so-called dark equations, motivated by the mysterious dark energy and dark matter envisaged in astronomy and cosmology [21]:

$$\begin{cases} u_t = K(x, t, u, u_x, u_{xx}, \cdots), \\ \psi_t = A(u, \partial_x)\psi, \end{cases}$$
(1.5)

where $A(u, \partial_x)$ ia a linear differential operator. Dark energy is an hypothetical form of energy that is said to permeate all space, proposed to account for a missing part of the total mass in the entire universe (not in the form of visible stars and planets) in order to explain the observed increased rate of expansion of the universe [22, 23]. Dark equations are linear extensions of the original system, which can extend the original equation further and further like general integrable couplings do. Importantly, they represent the large majority of integrable equations that we can readily consider, particularly in the study of integrable systems with multi-components, whereas nonlinear extensions are less amenable. In theory, they generalise the symmetry problem, and the first-order perturbation equations are special examples of dark equations with solutions that solve the original physical models to higher precision [13].

A soliton hierarchy is usually associated with a spectral problem

$$\phi_x = U\phi$$
, $U = U(u, \lambda) \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$, (1.6)

$$W_x = [U, W] \tag{1.7}$$

has a solution of the form

$$W = W(u, \lambda) = \sum_{i \ge 0} W_{0,i} \lambda^{-i}$$
, (1.8)

where $W_{0,i} \in \mathfrak{g}$, $i \ge 0$. We introduce a sequence of temporal spectral problems

$$\phi_{t_m} = V^{[m]}\phi = V^{[m]}(u,\lambda)\phi, \quad m \ge 0,$$
(1.9)

involving the Lax matrices defined by

$$V^{[m]} = (\lambda^m W)_+ + \Delta_m \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, \quad m \ge 0, \qquad (1.10)$$

where Q_+ denotes the polynomial part of Q in λ and the modification terms Δ_m are intended to guarantee that the zero curvature equations

$$U_{t_m} - V_x^{[m]} + [U, V^{[m]}] = 0, \quad m \ge 0,$$
(1.11)

generate a soliton hierarchy with Hamiltonian structures - viz.

$$u_{t_m} = K_m(u) = J \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}_m}{\delta u}, \quad m \ge 0.$$
(1.12)

The Hamiltonian functionals \mathcal{H}_m are generally furnished by applying the variational identity [3,9]

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta u} \int \langle W, U_{\lambda} \rangle \, dx = \lambda^{-\gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \lambda^{\gamma} \langle W, U_{u} \rangle \,, \tag{1.13}$$

where W is a solution of (1.7), $U_{\lambda} = \partial U / \partial \lambda$ and $U_u = \partial U / \partial u$, the constant γ is determined by

$$\gamma = -\frac{\lambda}{2} \frac{d}{d\lambda} \ln |\langle W, W \rangle|, \qquad (1.14)$$

and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is a bilinear form on the matrix loop algebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ that is non-degenerate, symmetric and ad-invariant [9]. The soliton hierarchy (1.12) has the commutativity properties:

$$[K_m, K_n] := K'_m(u)[K_n] - K'_n(u)[K_m] = 0, \qquad (1.15)$$

$$\{\mathscr{H}_m, \mathscr{H}_n\}_J := \int \left(\frac{\delta \mathscr{H}_m}{\delta u}\right)^I J \frac{\delta \mathscr{H}_n}{\delta u} dx = 0, \qquad (1.16)$$

where $m, n \ge 0$. These properties imply that the hierarchy (1.12) possesses infinitely many commuting symmetries $\{K_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and conserved functionals $\{\mathscr{H}_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$.

The rest of this article is structured as follows. In Section 2, a non-semisimple matrix loop algebra consisting of 3×3 block matrices is introduced, and used as a starting point

to formulate a practical way of constructing integrable Hamiltonian couplings of given integrable systems. In Section 3, an application to the Dirac soliton hierarchy is discussed, and nonlinear bi-integrable couplings are constructed for the equations derived from a spectral problem posed by Dirac's equation for relativistic spinors in a spherical symmetric potential. The corresponding variational identity furnishes Hamiltonian structures for the resulting integrable couplings. While generating these Hamiltonian structures, a crucial step is to search for non-degenerate, symmetric and ad-invariant bilinear forms on the underlying loop algebra. In the final section, a few concluding remarks are made.

2. Matrix Loop Algebra yielding Bi-Integrable Couplings

2.1. Matrix loop algebra

Let us fix an arbitrary non-zero constant α from a given field of numbers Γ . To construct bi-integrable couplings, we introduce triangular block matrices

$$M(A_1, A_2, A_3) = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & A_2 & A_3 \\ 0 & A_1 & \alpha A_2 \\ 0 & 0 & A_1 \end{bmatrix},$$
 (2.1)

where A_1, A_2 and A_3 are arbitrary square matrices of the same order. Obviously, the matrix product of two such block matrices may be written

$$M(A_1, A_2, A_3)M(B_1, B_2, B_3) = M(C_1, C_2, C_3), \qquad (2.2)$$

where

$$\begin{cases} C_1 = A_1 B_1, \\ C_2 = A_1 B_2 + A_2 B_1, \\ C_3 = A_1 B_3 + A_3 B_1 + \alpha A_2 B_2. \end{cases}$$
(2.3)

Such an essential closure property under matrix multiplication ensures that all block matrices defined above constitute a Lie algebra over the field Γ (e.g. see [24]), under the matrix commutator

$$[M_1, M_2] = M_1 M_2 - M_2 M_1 . (2.4)$$

The associated loop matrix algebra $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ is formed by all block matrices of the type (2.1) — i.e.

$$\bar{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda) = \{M(A_1, A_2, A_3) | M \text{ defined by (2.1), entries of } A_i \text{ Laurent series in } \lambda\},$$
 (2.5)

and its Lie bracket is defined by (2.4). The loop algebra $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ is non-semisimple, due to the semi-direct sum decomposition

$$\bar{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda) = \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_c , \qquad (2.6)$$

where $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_c$ are two loop subalgebras of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ — viz.

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \{M(A_1, 0, 0) \mid \text{entries of } A_1 \text{ Laurent series in } \lambda\}, \\ \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_c = \{M(0, A_2, A_3) \mid \text{entries of } A_2, A_3 \text{ Laurent series in } \lambda\} \end{cases}$$

It follows directly from the semi-direct sum property that $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_c$ is a nontrivial ideal Lie subalgebra of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$.

This matrix loop algebra $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ provides an underlying mathematical structure for formulating nonlinear bi-integrable Hamiltonian couplings, in a similar way to the one proposed in Ref. [25]. The first matrix block A_1 corresponds to the initial integrable system as required, and the second and third matrix blocks A_2 and A_3 generate the supplementary sub-vector fields S_1 and S_2 in (1.4). The sub-commutators $[A_2, B_2]$, $[A_2, B_3]$ and $[A_3, B_2]$ generally engender nonlinear terms in the resulting systems of bi-integrable couplings.

2.2. Bi-integrable couplings

Let us introduce an enlarged spectral matrix \overline{U} from the above matrix loop algebra $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ — i.e.

$$\bar{U} = \bar{U}(\bar{u}, \lambda) = M(U, U_1, U_2) = M(U(u, \lambda), U_1(u_1, \lambda), U_2(u_2, \lambda)), \qquad (2.7)$$

where \bar{u} consists of three column sub-vectors of dependent variables u, u_1 and u_2 defined as in (1.4). Then an enlarged zero curvature equation

$$\bar{U}_t - \bar{V}_x + [\bar{U}, \bar{V}] = 0 \tag{2.8}$$

with an enlarged Lax matrix \bar{V} from $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$,

$$\bar{V} = \bar{V}(\bar{u}, \lambda) = M(V, V_1, V_2) = M(V(u, \lambda), V_1(u, u_1, \lambda), V_2(u, u_1, u_2, \lambda)), \quad (2.9)$$

yields the following triangular system:

$$\begin{cases} U_t - V_x + [U, V] = 0, \\ U_{1,t} - V_{1,x} + [U, V_1] + [U_1, V] = 0, \\ U_{2,t} - V_{2,x} + [U, V_2] + [U_2, V] + \alpha [U_1, V_1] = 0. \end{cases}$$
(2.10)

From the zero curvature representation (1.2) of the system (1.1), this produces a biintegrable coupling of (1.1) that is usually nonlinear with respect to the supplementary variables u_1 and u_2 , and thus candidates for nonlinear bi-integrable couplings.

To generate a hierarchy of integrable couplings of (1.1), given $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ we seek a solution

$$\bar{W} = \bar{W}(\bar{u}, \lambda) = M(W, W_1, W_2) = M(W(u, \lambda), W_1(u, u_1, \lambda), W_2(u, u_1, u_2, \lambda))$$
(2.11)

to the enlarged stationary zero curvature equation

$$\bar{W}_x = \left[\bar{U}, \bar{W}\right], \qquad (2.12)$$

equivalent to requiring

$$\begin{cases} W_{x} = [U, W], \\ W_{1,x} = [U, W_{1}] + [U_{1}, W], \\ W_{2,x} = [U, W_{2}] + [U_{2}, W] + \alpha [U_{1}, W_{1}]. \end{cases}$$

$$(2.13)$$

We can often obtain a solution of the type (e.g. see [18,26])

$$W_1 = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} W_{1,i} \lambda^{-i}$$
, $W_2 = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} W_{2,i} \lambda^{-i}$, (2.14)

together with a solution for *W* defined by (1.8). We then take a set of enlarged matrix modifications $\bar{\Delta}_m \in \bar{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$, $m \ge 0$, and introduce the enlarged Lax matrices

$$\bar{V}^{[m]} = (\lambda^m \bar{W})_+ + \bar{\Delta}_m, \quad m \ge 0,$$
(2.15)

where the subscript "+" denotes the polynomial part, such that the enlarged zero curvature equations

$$\bar{U}_{t_m} - \bar{V}_x^{[m]} + [\bar{U}, \bar{V}^{[m]}] = 0, \quad m \ge 0,$$
(2.16)

engender a soliton hierarchy of bi-integrable couplings for the system (1.1). Generally, integrable couplings obtained this way commute with each other, and therefore form infinitely many common symmetries for the whole hierarchy of integrable couplings.

Hamiltonian structures of such bi-integrable couplings can be constructed through using the associated variational identities [3,9], including the trace identity [18] and the component-trace identity [10] as particular examples. A crucial step is to find bilinear forms over the underlying loop algebra that should satisfy the non-degenerate property, the symmetric property and the ad-invariant property. Then on applying the corresponding variational identity

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{u}} \int \langle \bar{W}, \bar{U}_{\lambda} \rangle \, dx = \lambda^{-\gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \lambda^{\gamma} \langle \bar{W}, \bar{U}_{\bar{u}} \rangle \,, \tag{2.17}$$

with the constant γ determined by

$$\gamma = -\frac{\lambda}{2} \frac{d}{d\lambda} \ln|\langle \bar{W}, \bar{W} \rangle|, \qquad (2.18)$$

we can generate Hamiltonian structures for the resulting bi-integrable couplings. In this variational identity, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes a required non-degenerate, symmetric and ad-invariant bilinear form over the underlying loop algebra consisting of square matrices of the form (2.7) — cf. [3, 8, 10] for details. The Hamiltonian structures link the symmetries and conservation laws together, and thus ensure the existence of infinitely many conservation laws.

In the next section, an illustrative example is produced by applying the above general computational paradigm, and nonlinear Hamiltonian bi-integrable couplings are then computed for the Dirac equations.

3. Bi-Integrable Couplings of the Dirac Equations

3.1. The Dirac soliton hierarchy

Let us recall the Dirac soliton hierarchy [27], for which the well-known spectral problem is

$$\phi_x = U\phi$$
, $U = U(u, \lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} p & \lambda + q \\ -\lambda + q & -p \end{bmatrix} \in \widetilde{sl}(2)$, (3.1)

where

$$u = \begin{bmatrix} p \\ q \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \phi = \begin{bmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \end{bmatrix},$$

and $\tilde{sl}(2)$ is the special matrix loop algebra — i.e.

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \widetilde{\mathfrak{sl}}(2) = \{A \in \mathfrak{sl}(2) \mid \text{entries of } A \text{ Laurent series in } \lambda\}.$$
(3.2)

The stationary zero curvature equation

$$W_x = [U, W] \tag{3.3}$$

determines

$$\begin{cases} a_x = -2\lambda c + 2pb, \\ b_x = -2qc + 2pa, \\ c_x = 2\lambda a - 2qb, \end{cases}$$
(3.4)

if we assume that W is of the form

$$W = \begin{bmatrix} c & a+b\\ a-b & -c \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{i\geq 0} W_{0,i}\lambda^{-i} = \sum_{i\geq 0} \begin{bmatrix} c_i & a_i+b_i\\ a_i-b_i & -c_i \end{bmatrix} \lambda^{-i} \in \widetilde{sl}(2).$$
(3.5)

The system (3.4) equivalently generates

$$\begin{cases} a_{i+1} = \frac{1}{2}c_{i,x} + qb_i, \\ c_{i+1} = -\frac{1}{2}a_{i,x} + pb_i, \\ b_{i+1,x} = -2qc_{i+1} + 2pa_{i+1}, \end{cases} \quad (3.6)$$

So assuming the initial values

$$b_0 = -1, \quad a_0 = c_0 = 0, \tag{3.7}$$

and that the constant of integration as zero, we can work out the first few sets:

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= -q , & c_1 &= -p , & b_1 &= 0 , \\ a_2 &= -\frac{1}{2} p_x , & c_2 &= \frac{1}{2} q_x , & b_2 &= -\frac{1}{2} p^2 - \frac{1}{2} q^2 , \\ a_3 &= \frac{1}{4} q_{xx} - \frac{1}{2} p^2 q - \frac{1}{2} q^3 , & c_3 &= \frac{1}{4} p_{xx} - \frac{1}{2} p^3 - \frac{1}{2} p q^2 , & b_3 &= \frac{1}{2} (pq_x - p_x q) . \end{aligned}$$

The zero curvature equations

$$U_{t_m} - V_x^{[m]} + [U, V^{[m]}] = 0 \text{ with } V^{[m]} = (\lambda^m W)_+$$
(3.8)

where $m \ge 0$, then provide the well-known Dirac hierarchy of soliton equations — viz.

$$u_{t_m} = K_m = \begin{bmatrix} 2a_{m+1} \\ -2c_{m+1} \end{bmatrix} = \Phi^m \begin{bmatrix} -2q \\ 2p \end{bmatrix} = J \frac{\delta \mathscr{H}_m}{\delta u}$$
(3.9)

where $m \ge 0$, and the Hamiltonian operator *J*, the hereditary recursion operator Φ and the Hamiltonian functions are defined as follows:

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \ \Phi = \begin{bmatrix} 2q\partial^{-1}p & -\frac{1}{2}\partial + 2q\partial^{-1}q \\ \frac{1}{2}\partial - 2p\partial^{-1}p & -2p\partial^{-1}q \end{bmatrix}, \ \mathcal{H}_m = \int \frac{2b_{m+2}}{m+1} dx \ , \ (3.10)$$

in which $m \ge 0$, and $\partial = \partial / \partial x$.

3.2. Hamiltonian bi-integrable couplings

We now proceed to construct Hamiltonian bi-integrable couplings for the Dirac soliton hierarchy (3.9). An enlarged spectral matrix from $\tilde{g}(\lambda)$ defined by (2.5) is chosen as

$$\bar{U} = \bar{U}(\bar{u}, \lambda) = M(U, U_1, U_2), \qquad \bar{u} = (p, q, r, s, v, w)^T,$$
(3.11)

where *U* is defined as in (3.1) and the supplementary spectral matrices U_1 and U_2 are given by

$$U_1 = U_1(u_1) = \begin{bmatrix} r & s \\ s & -r \end{bmatrix} \in \widetilde{sl}(2), \quad u_1 = \begin{bmatrix} r \\ s \end{bmatrix}, \quad (3.12)$$

$$U_2 = U_2(u_2) = \begin{bmatrix} v & w \\ w & -v \end{bmatrix} \in \widetilde{sl}(2), \quad u_2 = \begin{bmatrix} v \\ w \end{bmatrix}.$$
(3.13)

As usual, in order to solve the enlarged stationary zero curvature equation (2.12), we take a solution of the following type:

$$\bar{W} = \bar{W}(\bar{u}, \lambda) = M(W, W_1, W_2) \in \bar{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda), \qquad (3.14)$$

where *W* defined by (3.3) solves $W_x = [U, W]$, and W_1 and W_2 are assumed to be

$$W_1 = W_1(u, u_1, \lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} g & e+f \\ e-f & -g \end{bmatrix} \in \widetilde{sl}(2), \qquad (3.15)$$

$$W_{2} = W_{2}(u, u_{1}, u_{2}, \lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} g' & e' + f' \\ e' - f' & -g' \end{bmatrix} \in \widetilde{sl}(2).$$
(3.16)

The second and third equations in (2.13) then equivalently generate

$$\begin{cases} e_x = 2pf - 2\lambda g + 2rb, \\ f_x = 2pe - 2qg + 2ra - 2sc, \\ g_x = 2\lambda e - 2qf - 2sb, \end{cases}$$
(3.17)

and

$$\begin{aligned} e'_{x} &= 2pf' - 2\lambda g' + 2\nu b + 2\alpha rf , \\ f'_{x} &= 2pe' - 2qg' + 2\nu a - 2wc + 2\alpha re - 2\alpha sg , \\ g'_{x} &= 2\lambda e' - 2qf' - 2wb - 2\alpha sg , \end{aligned}$$
 (3.18)

respectively. Trying a formal series solution \bar{W} by setting

$$\begin{cases} e = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} e_i \lambda^{-i}, \quad f = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} f_i \lambda^{-i}, \quad g = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} g_i \lambda^{-i}, \\ e' = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} e_i' \lambda^{-i}, \quad f' = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} f_i' \lambda^{-i}, \quad g' = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} g_i' \lambda^{-i}, \end{cases}$$
(3.19)

we arrive at

$$\begin{cases} e_{i+1} = \frac{1}{2} g_{i,x} + qf_i + sb_i , \\ g_{i+1} = -\frac{1}{2} e_{i,x} + pf_i + rb_i , \\ f_{i+1,x} = 2pe_{i+1} - 2qg_{i+1} + 2ra_{i+1} - 2sc_{i+1} , \\ e'_{i+1} = \frac{1}{2} g'_{i,x} + qf'_i + wb_i + \alpha sf_i , \\ g'_{i+1} = -\frac{1}{2} e'_{i,x} + pf'_i + vb_i + \alpha rf_i , \\ f'_{i+1,x} = 2pe'_{i+1} - 2qg'_{i+1} + 2va_{i+1} - 2wc_{i+1} \\ -2\alpha re_{i+1} - 2\alpha sg_{i+1} , \end{cases}$$
(3.20)

where $i \ge 0$. We take initial data as

$$f_0 = -1$$
, $e_0 = g_0 = 0$; $f'_0 = -1$, $e'_0 = g'_0 = 0$; (3.21)

and suppose that

$$\begin{cases} e_i|_{\bar{u}=0} = f_i|_{\bar{u}=0} = g_i|_{\bar{u}=0} = 0, \quad i \ge 1, \\ e'_i|_{\bar{u}=0} = f'_i|_{\bar{u}=0} = g'_i|_{\bar{u}=0} = 0, \quad i \ge 1. \end{cases}$$
(3.22)

Then the recursion relation (3.20) uniquely generates the sequence of e_i , f_i , g_i and e'_i , f'_i , g'_i , $i \ge 1$, recursively. From the recursion relation, one therefore obtains

$$\begin{cases} e_1 = -q - s, \\ g_1 = -p - r, \\ f_1 = 0; \\ e_2 = -\frac{1}{2}(p_x + r_x), \\ g_2 = \frac{1}{2}(q_x + s_x), \\ f_2 = -\frac{1}{2}(p^2 + q^2) - (pr + qs); \\ e_3 = \frac{1}{4}(q_{xx} + s_{xx}) - \frac{1}{2}(p^2 + q^2)(q + s) - (pr + qs)q, \\ g_3 = \frac{1}{4}(p_{xx} + r_{xx}) - \frac{1}{2}(p^2 + q^2)(p + r) - (pr + qs)p, \\ f_3 = \frac{1}{2}(pq_x + ps_x - p_xq - p_xs - qr_x + q_xr); \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} e_1' = -q - w - \alpha s, \\ g_1' = -p - v - \alpha r, \\ f_1' = 0; \\ e_2' = -\frac{1}{2}(p_x + v_x + \alpha r_x), \\ g_2' = \frac{1}{2}(q_x + w_x + \alpha s_x), \\ f_2' = -\frac{1}{2}(p^2 + q^2) - \frac{1}{2}\alpha(r^2 + s^2) - (\alpha r + v)p - (\alpha s + w)q; \\ \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} e_3' = \frac{1}{4}(q_{xx} + \alpha s_{xx} + w_{xx}) - \frac{1}{2}(q + w + \alpha s)(p^2 + q^2) \\ -\frac{1}{2}\alpha(r^2 + s^2)q - (qw + pv)q - \alpha(pr + qs)(q + s), \\ g_3' = \frac{1}{4}(p_{xx} + \alpha v_{xx} + r_{xx}) - \frac{1}{2}(p + v + \alpha r)(p^2 + q^2) \\ -\frac{1}{2}\alpha(r^2 + s^2)p - (qw + pv)p - \alpha(pr + qs)(p + r), \\ f_3' = \frac{1}{2}[-(q + \alpha s + w)p_x + (p + \alpha r + v)q_x \\ -\alpha(q + s)r_x + \alpha(p + r)s_x - qv_x + pw_x]. \end{cases}$$

The above functions are all differential polynomials in the six variables p, q, r, s, v, w.

For each integer $m \ge 0$, let us further introduce an enlarged Lax matrix

$$\bar{V}^{[m]} = (\lambda^m \bar{W})_+ = M(V^{[m]}, V_1^{[m]}, V_2^{[m]}) \in \bar{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda), \qquad (3.23)$$

where $V^{[m]}$ is defined as in (3.8) and $V_i^{[m]} = (\lambda^m W_i)_+ \in \tilde{sl}(2), i = 1, 2$, when the enlarged zero curvature equation

$$\bar{U}_{t_m} - (\bar{V}^{[m]})_x + [\bar{U}, \bar{V}^{[m]}] = 0$$
(3.24)

yields

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} U_{1,t_m} - V_{1,x}^{[m]} + [U, V_1^{[m]}] + [U_1, V^{[m]}] = 0 , \\ \\ U_{2,t_m} - V_{2,x}^{[m]} + [U, V_2^{[m]}] + [U_2, V^{[m]}] + \alpha [U_1, V_1^{[m]}] = 0 , \end{array} \right.$$

together with the m-th Dirac system in (3.9). The above two equations then present the supplementary systems

$$\bar{v}_{t_m} = S_m = S_m(\bar{u}) = \begin{bmatrix} S_{1,m}(u, u_1) \\ S_{2,m}(u, u_1, u_2) \end{bmatrix}, \quad m \ge 0,$$
(3.25)

where $\bar{v} = (r, s, v, w)^T$ and

$$S_{1,m}(u, u_1) = \begin{bmatrix} 2e_{m+1} \\ -2g_{m+1} \end{bmatrix},$$
$$S_{2,m}(u, u_1, u_2) = \begin{bmatrix} 2e'_{m+1} \\ -2g'_{m+1} \end{bmatrix}$$

The enlarged zero curvature equations thus generate a hierarchy of bi-integrable couplings:

$$\bar{u}_{t_m} = \begin{bmatrix} p \\ q \\ r \\ s \\ v \\ w \end{bmatrix}_{t_m} = \bar{K}_m(\bar{u}) = \begin{bmatrix} 2a_{m+1} \\ -2c_{m+1} \\ 2e_{m+1} \\ -2g_{m+1} \\ 2e'_{m+1} \\ -2g'_{m+1} \end{bmatrix}, \quad m \ge 0$$
(3.26)

for the Dirac soliton hierarchy (3.9).

Except the first two, all bi-integrable couplings defined by (3.26) are nonlinear, since the supplementary systems (3.25) with $m \ge 2$ are nonlinear with respect to the four dependent variables r, s, v, w. This implies that (3.26) provides a hierarchy of nonlinear bi-integrable couplings for the Dirac soliton hierarchy. The first nonlinear bi-integrable coupling system reads

$$\begin{cases} p_{t_2} = 2a_3, q_{t_2} = -2c_3, r_{t_2} = 2e_3, \\ s_{t_2} = -2g_3, v_{t_2} = 2e'_3, w_{t_2} = -2g'_3, \end{cases}$$
(3.27)

where b_3 , c_3 , f_3 , g_3 , f'_3 , g'_3 are defined as before.

3.3. Hamiltonian structures

In order to generate Hamiltonian structures for the resulting bi-integrable couplings in (3.26), we apply the following variational identity over the enlarged matrix loop algebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ [8,9]:

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{u}} \int \langle \bar{W}, \bar{U}_{\lambda} \rangle \, dx = \lambda^{-\gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \lambda^{\gamma} \langle \bar{W}, \bar{U}_{\bar{u}} \rangle \,, \quad \gamma = \text{constant} \,. \tag{3.28}$$

To construct symmetric and ad-invariant bilinear forms on $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ conveniently, we first transform the semi-direct sum $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ into vector form. Define a mapping

$$\sigma: \bar{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda) \to \mathbb{R}^9, \, A \mapsto (a_1, \cdots, a_9)^T \,, \tag{3.29}$$

where

$$A = M(A_1, A_2, A_3) \in \bar{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda), A_i = \begin{bmatrix} a_{3i} & a_{3i-2} + a_{3i-1} \\ a_{3i-2} - a_{3i-1} & -a_{3i} \end{bmatrix}, \ 1 \le i \le 3.$$
(3.30)

As usual, this mapping σ induces a Lie algebraic structure on \mathbb{R}^9 , isomorphic to the enlarged matrix loop algebra $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$. The corresponding Lie bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$ on \mathbb{R}^9 can be computed as

$$[a,b]^{T} = a^{T}R(b), \qquad (3.31)$$

where $a = (a_1, \dots, a_9)^T$, $b = (b_1, \dots, b_9)^T \in \mathbb{R}^9$ and

$$R(b) = M(R_1, R_2, R_3), \qquad (3.32)$$

with

$$R_{i} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -2b_{3i} & -2b_{3i-1} \\ -2b_{3i} & 0 & 2b_{3i-2} \\ 2b_{3i-1} & 2b_{3i-2} & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad 1 \le i \le 3.$$

This Lie algebra $(\mathbb{R}^9, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is isomorphic to the enlraged matrix loop algebra $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ defined in Section 2, and the mapping σ defined by (3.29) is a Lie isomorphism between those two Lie algebras.

A bilinear form on \mathbb{R}^9 can be provided by

$$\langle a, b \rangle = a^T F b , \qquad (3.33)$$

where *F* is a constant matrix (actually, $F = (\langle \mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j \rangle)_{9 \times 9}$, where $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_9$ are the standard basis of \mathbb{R}^9). The symmetric property $\langle a, b \rangle = \langle b, a \rangle$ requires that

$$F^T = F {.} (3.34)$$

Under this symmetric condition, the ad-invariance property

$$\langle a, [b,c] \rangle = \langle [a,b], c \rangle$$

requires that

$$F(R(b))^{T} = -R(b)F, \quad b \in \mathbb{R}^{9}.$$
 (3.35)

This matrix equation involves an arbitrary vector b, and thus leads to a linear system of equations on the elements of the matrix F. On solving the resulting system, we obtain

$$F = \begin{bmatrix} \eta_1 & \eta_2 & \eta_3 \\ \eta_2 & \alpha \eta_3 & 0 \\ \eta_3 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \otimes F_0$$
(3.36)

with

$$F_0 = \left[\begin{array}{rrr} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] ,$$

where η_i , $1 \le i \le 3$, are arbitrary constants. The corresponding bilinear form on the semi-direct sum $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ of the two Lie subalgebras $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_c$ is then defined as

$$\langle A, B \rangle_{\bar{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)} = \langle \sigma(A), \sigma(B) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{9}} = (a_{1}, \cdots, a_{9})F(b_{1}, \cdots, b_{9})^{T} = (a_{1}b_{1} - a_{2}b_{2} + a_{3}b_{3})\eta_{1} + (a_{1}b_{4} - a_{2}b_{5} + a_{3}b_{6} + a_{4}b_{1} - a_{5}b_{2} + a_{6}b_{3})\eta_{2} + (a_{1}b_{7} - a_{2}b_{8} + a_{3}b_{9} + \alpha a_{4}b_{4} - \alpha a_{5}b_{5} + \alpha a_{6}b_{6} + a_{7}b_{1} - a_{8}b_{2} + a_{9}b_{3})\eta_{3},$$

$$(3.37)$$

where *A* and *B* are two matrices in $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ — viz.

$$\begin{cases} A = \sigma^{-1}((a_1, \cdots, a_9)^T) \in \overline{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda), \\ B = \sigma^{-1}((b_1, \cdots, b_9)^T) \in \overline{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda). \end{cases}$$
(3.38)

Due to the isomorphism of σ , the bilinear form (3.37) is also symmetric and adinvariant — i.e.

$$\langle A, B \rangle_{\bar{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)} = \langle B, A \rangle_{\bar{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)}, \quad \langle A, [B, C] \rangle_{\bar{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)} = \langle [A, B], C \rangle_{\bar{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)},$$

where *A*, *B*, $C \in \overline{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$. However, this kind of bilinear form is not of Killing type, since the enlarged matrix loop algebra $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ is not semisimple. A bilinear form, defined by (3.37), is non-degenerate if and only if the determinant of *F* is not zero — i.e.

$$\det(F) = \eta_3^{\ 9} \alpha^3 \neq 0 \,. \tag{3.39}$$

We can therefore choose η_1 , η_2 and η_3 such that det(*F*) is non-zero, to obtain non-degenerate bilinear forms over the enlarged matrix loop algebra $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$.

We can now compute

$$\langle ar{W},ar{U}_\lambda
angle_{ar{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)}=-b\,\eta_1-f\,\eta_2-f^\prime\,\eta_3$$
 ,

and

$$\langle \bar{W}, \bar{U}_{\bar{u}} \rangle_{\bar{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)} = \left[\begin{array}{c} c \, \eta_1 + g \, \eta_2 + g' \, \eta_3 \\ a \, \eta_1 + e \, \eta_2 + e' \, \eta_3 \\ c \, \eta_2 + \alpha \, g \, \eta_3 \\ a \, \eta_2 + \alpha \, e \, \eta_3 \\ c \, \eta_3 \\ a \, \eta_3 \end{array} \right] \, .$$

Moreover, the formula (2.18) for the constant γ directly yields $\gamma = 0$, and so the corresponding variational identity is

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{u}} \int \frac{b_{m+1}\eta_1 + f_{m+1}\eta_2 + f'_{m+1}\eta_3}{m} dx = \begin{bmatrix} c_m \eta_1 + g_m \eta_2 + g'_m \eta_3 \\ a_m \eta_1 + e_m \eta_2 + e'_m \eta_3 \\ c_m \eta_2 + \alpha g_m \eta_3 \\ a_m \eta_2 + \alpha e_m \eta_3 \\ c_m \eta_3 \\ a_m \eta_3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad m \ge 1.$$

Consequently, we obtain a Hamiltonian structure for the hierarchy (3.26) of bi-integrable couplings — viz.

$$\bar{u}_{t_m} = \bar{J} \frac{\delta \tilde{\mathscr{H}}_m}{\delta \bar{u}} , \quad m \ge 0 , \qquad (3.40)$$

with the Hamiltonian functionals

$$\bar{\mathscr{H}}_{m} = \int \frac{2 \, b_{m+2} \, \eta_1 + 2 \, f_{m+2} \, \eta_2 + 2 f'_{m+2} \, \eta_3}{m+1} \, dx \,, \tag{3.41}$$

and the Hamiltonian operator

$$\bar{J} = \begin{bmatrix} \eta_1 & \eta_2 & \eta_3 \\ \eta_2 & \alpha \eta_3 & 0 \\ \eta_3 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \otimes J , \qquad (3.42)$$

where *J* is defined as in (3.10). In passing, we remark that a condition in defining \overline{J} is that $det(F) \neq 0$.

3.4. Symmetries and conserved functionals

Checking the recursion relation

$$\bar{K}_m = \bar{\Phi}\bar{K}_{m-1}, \quad m \ge 0,$$
(3.43)

we have a recursion operator $\overline{\Phi}$ (cf. [28])

$$\bar{\Phi} = M^T(\Phi, \Phi_1, \Phi_2) \tag{3.44}$$

where Φ is given by (3.10) and

$$\Phi_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 2q\partial^{-1}r + 2s\partial^{-1}p & 2q\partial^{-1}s + 2s\partial^{-1}q \\ -2p\partial^{-1}r - 2r\partial^{-1}p & -2p\partial^{-1}s - 2r\partial^{-1}q \end{bmatrix},$$
(3.45)

$$\Phi_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 2q\partial^{-1}r + 2w\partial^{-1}p + 2\alpha s\partial^{-1}r & 2q\partial^{-1}w + 2w\partial^{-1}q + 2\alpha s\partial^{-1}s \\ -2p\partial^{-1}v - 2\nu\partial^{-1}p - 2\alpha r\partial^{-1}r & -2p\partial^{-1}w - 2\nu\partial^{-1}q - 2\alpha r\partial^{-1}s \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (3.46)

One may show by computer algebra systems that $\overline{\Phi}$ is a hereditary operator [29,30]. Thus the quantity

$$\bar{\Phi}'(\bar{u})[\bar{\Phi}\bar{T}_1]\bar{T}_2 - \bar{\Phi}\bar{\Phi}'(\bar{u})[\bar{T}_1]\bar{T}_2$$

is symmetric with respect to \bar{T}_1 and \bar{T}_2 , and the two operators \bar{J} and $\bar{M} = \bar{\Phi}\bar{J}$ constitute a Hamiltonian pair [31] — i.e. \bar{J} , \bar{M} and $\bar{J} + \bar{M}$ are all Hamiltonian. The hierarchy (3.26) of bi-integrable couplings therefore possesses a bi-Hamiltonian structure (e.g. see [31, 32]), and hence is Liouville integrable. Further, it follows that there are infinitely many commuting common symmetries and conserved functionals

$$[\bar{K}_m, \bar{K}_n] = 0, \quad m, n \ge 0 \tag{3.47}$$

and

$$\{\bar{\mathcal{H}}_{m}, \bar{\mathcal{H}}_{n}\}_{\bar{J}} = \{\bar{\mathcal{H}}_{m}, \bar{\mathcal{H}}_{n}\}_{\bar{M}} = 0, \quad m, n \ge 0.$$
(3.48)

4. Concluding Remarks

We presented a matrix loop algebra consisting of 3×3 block matrices to construct bi-integrable couplings, and successfully generated a hierarchy of nonlinear bi-integrable couplings for the Dirac soliton hierarchy. The hierarchy of bi-integrable couplings possesses a bi-Hamiltonian structure, and thus infinitely many commuting common symmetries and conservation laws. The matrix loop algebra serves as a starting point of the formulation of constructing bi-integrable couplings, and the generating procedure can be applied to the other soliton hierarchies.

There are other interesting questions on integrable couplings. A crucial task in generating integrable couplings is to compute semi-direct sums of matrix loop algebras, but the issue is how to do so generally. Hamiltonian structures arise naturally in perturbation systems [15, 33–35], but some enlarged spectral matrices are not associated with any

non-degenerate bilinear forms over the underlying matrix loop algebras required in the variational identities [36,37]. We are curious about criteria that can be used to generate Hamiltonian structures for integrable couplings — e.g. Hamiltonian structures for

$$u_t = K(u), \qquad u_{1,t} = K'(u)[u_1], \qquad u_{2,t} = K'(u)[u_2].$$

Can other matrix loop algebras, for example those obtained from the Kronecker product [38, 39], help in formulating Hamiltonian structures? The construction of groups of solutions for integrable couplings by symmetry constraints similar to the theory for the perturbation systems [40, 41], or by Darboux transformations generated through moving frames [42], also remains an open question. The problem is also related to the representation of solutions for linear partial differential equations with variable coefficients via the matrix exponential — e.g. see [43] for the case of ordinary differential equations.

Moreover, integrable couplings can inherit various other integrable characteristics [44], such as Hirota bilinear forms — e.g. see [45]. Another interesting property is whether the linear superposition principle can apply on subspaces of solutions of integrable couplings, and if the closure property for such subspaces of exponential wave solutions should contain different soliton solutions [46, 47]. In particular, it is of interest to see what kinds of subspaces of solutions the above intriguing bi-integrable coupling can possess, or what relations there could exist between the system and Bell type polynomials. In order to develop the theory of multi-component integrable systems (e.g. see [48–54]), another future task is to explore distinct integrable properties for multi-integrable couplings from other points of view.

Finally, we mention our future intention to explore soliton phenomena that integrable couplings possess, with diverse intriguing structures in solution sets to nonlinear differential equations corresponding to dark energy and dark matter. Local bi-Hamiltonian models in (2+1)-dimensional integrable theories have been shown to exist, through studying bi-integrable couplings [13, 35]. Particularly important solitons include resonant cases [55] carried by models of bi-integrable couplings.

Acknowledgments

The work was supported in part by the University of South Florida, the National Science Foundation of USA (No. 1301675), the State Administration of Foreign Experts Affairs of China, the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 11271008, 10831003, 61072147 and 11071159), Chunhui Plan of the Ministry of Education of China, the Firstclass Discipline of Universities in Shanghai and the Shanghai University Leading Academic Discipline Project (No. A.13-0101-12-004), the Natural Science Foundation of Shanghai (No. 09ZR1410800), the Shanghai Leading Academic Discipline Project (No. J50101), and the International Cooperation Program Excellent Lecturers of 2011 by Shandong Provincial Education Department of China.

References

- W.X. Ma, X.X. Xu and Y.F. Zhang, Semi-direct sums of Lie algebras and continuous integrable couplings, Phys. Lett. A 351, 125–130 (2006).
- [2] W.X. Ma, X.X. Xu and Y.F. Zhang, Semidirect sums of Lie algebras and discrete integrable couplings, J. Math. Phys. 47, 053501, 16 pp. (2006).
- [3] W.X. Ma and M. Chen, Hamiltonian and quasi-Hamiltonian structures associated with semidirect sums of Lie algebras, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 39, 10787–10801 (2006).
- [4] W.X. Ma, A discrete variational identity on semi-direct sums of Lie algebras, J. Phys. A: Math. Theoret. 40, 15055–15069 (2007).
- [5] T.C. Xia, H.X. Chen and D.Y. Chen, A new Lax integrable hierarchy, N Hamiltonian structure and its integrable couplings system, Chaos, Solitons & Fractals 23, 451–458 (2005).
- [6] F.Y. Yu and H.Q. Zhang, Hamiltonian structure of the integrable couplings for the multicomponent Dirac hierarchy, Appl. Math. Comput. 197, 828–835 (2008).
- [7] Y.F. Zhang and B.L. Feng, *A few Lie algebras and their applications for generating integrable hierarchies of evolution types*, Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul. **16**, 3045–3061 (2011).
- [8] W.X. Ma, Variational identities and applications to Hamiltonian structures of soliton equations, Nonlinear Anal. 71, e1716–e1726 (2009).
- [9] W.X. Ma, Variational identities and Hamiltonian structures, in: W.X. Ma, X.B. Hu and Q.P. Liu (Eds.), Nonlinear and Modern Mathematical Physics, Vol.1212, pp.1–27, AIP Conference Proceedings, American Institute of Physics, Melville, NY (2010).
- [10] W.X. Ma and Y. Zhang, Component-trace identities for Hamiltonian structures, Appl. Anal. 89, 457–472 (2010).
- [11] M. Blaszak, B.M. Szablikowski and B. Silindir, Construction and separability of nonlinear soliton integrable couplings, Appl. Math. Comput. 219, 1866–1873 (2012).
- [12] W.X. Ma, J.H. Meng and H.Q. Zhang, *Tri-integrable couplings by matrix Lie algebras*, to appear in Int. J. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul. 14, (2013).
- [13] W.X. Ma and B. Fuchssteiner, Integrable theory of the perturbation equations, Chaos, Solitons & Fractals 7, 1227–1250 (1996).
- [14] W.X. Ma, Integrable couplings of soliton equations by perturbations I A general theory and application to the KdV hierarchy, Methods Appl. Anal. 7, 21–55 (2000).
- [15] S.Yu. Sakovich, On integrability of a (2+1)-dimensional perturbed KdV equation, J. Nonlinear Math. Phys. 5, 230–233 (1998).
- [16] S.Yu. Sakovich, Coupled KdV equations of Hirota-Satsuma type, J. Nonlinear Math. Phys. 6, 255–262 (1999).
- [17] P. Lax, Integrals of nonlinear equations of evolution and solitary waves, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 21, 467–490 (1968).
- [18] G.Z. Tu, On Liouville integrability of zero-curvature equations and the Yang hierarchy, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 22, 2375–2392 (1989).
- [19] W.X. Ma and Z.N. Zhu, Constructing nonlinear discrete integrable Hamiltonian couplings, Comput. Math. Appl. 60, 2601–2608 (2010).
- [20] W.X. Ma, Nonlinear continuous integrable Hamiltonian couplings, Appl. Math. Comput. 217, 7238–7244 (2011).
- [21] B.A. Kupershmidt, *Dark equations*, J. Nonlinear Math. Phys. 8, 363–445 (2011).
- [22] P.J.E. Peebles and B. Ratra, The cosmological constant and dark energy, Rev. Mod. Phys. 75, 559–606 (2003).
- [23] J. Hogan, Unseen universe: welcome to the dark side, Nature 448, 240–245 (2007).
- [24] K. Erdmann and M.J. Wildon, Introduction to Lie Algebras, Springer, London (2006).

- [25] W.X. Ma, Loop algebras and bi-integrable couplings, in: W.X. Ma (Ed.) Special Issue on Solitons, Chin. Ann. Math. B 33, 207–224 (2012).
- [26] W.X. Ma, A new hierarchy of Liouville integrable generalized Hamiltonian equations and its reduction, Chin. Ann. Math. A 13, 115–123 (1992).
- [27] W.X. Ma, Binary nonlinearization for the Dirac systems, Chin. Ann. Math. B 18, 79–88 (1997).
- [28] P.J. Olver, Evolution equations possessing infinitely many symmetries, J. Math. Phys. 18, 1212– 1215 (1977).
- [29] B. Fuchssteiner, *Application of hereditary symmetries to nonlinear evolution equations*, Nonlinear Anal. **3**, 849–862 (1979).
- [30] B. Fuchssteiner and A.S. Fokas, Symplectic structures, their Bäcklund transformations and hereditary symmetries, Phys. D 4, 47–66 (1981/82).
- [31] F. Magri, A simple model of the integrable Hamiltonian equation, J. Math. Phys. 19, 1156–1162 (1978).
- [32] P.J. Olver, Applications of Lie groups to differential equations, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 107, Springer-Verlag, New York (1986).
- [33] W.X. Ma and B. Fuchssteiner, The bi-Hamiltonian structure of the perturbation equations of the KdV hierarchy, Phys. Lett. A 213, 49–55 (1996).
- [34] W.X. Ma, A bi-Hamiltonian formulation for triangular systems by perturbations, J. Math. Phys. 43, 1408–1421 (2002).
- [35] W.X. Ma, Integrable couplings of vector AKNS soliton equations, J. Math. Phys. 46, 033507, 19 pp. (2005).
- [36] W.X. Ma, Enlarging spectral problems to construct integrable couplings of soliton equations, Phys. Lett. A 316, 72–76 (2003).
- [37] W.X. Ma and L. Gao, *Coupling integrable couplings* Modern Phys. Lett. B 23, 1847–1860 (2009).
- [38] W.X. Ma and F.K. Guo, Lax representations and zero curvature representations by Kronecker product, Int. J. Theor. Phys. 36, 697–704 (1997).
- [39] F.J. Yu and L. Li, A new method to construct the integrable coupling system for discrete soliton equation with the Kronecker product, Phys. Lett. A **372**, 3548–3554 (2008).
- [40] W.X. Ma and R.G. Zhou, Nonlinearization of spectral problems for the perturbation KdV systems, Physica A 296, 60–74 (2001).
- [41] W.X. Ma and R.G. Zhou, *Binary nonlinearization of spectral problems of the perturbation AKNS systems*, Chaos, Solitons & Fractals **13**, 1451–1463 (2002).
- [42] P.J. Olver, *Classical Invariant Theory*, London Mathematical Society Student Texts 44, Cambridge University Press (1999).
- [43] W.X. Ma, X. Gu and L. Gao, A note on exact solutions to linear differential equations by the matrix exponential, Adv. Appl. Math. Mech. 1, 573–580 (2009).
- [44] W.X. Ma, Integrability, in: A. Scott (Ed.) Encyclopedia of Nonlinear Science, pp. 250–253, Taylor & Francis, New York (2005).
- [45] W.X. Ma, W. Strampp, Bilinear forms and Bäcklund transformations of the perturbation systems, Phys. Lett. A **341**, 441–449 (2005).
- [46] W.X. Ma and E.G. Fan, Linear superposition principle applying to Hirota bilinear equations, Comput. Math. Appl. 61, 950–959 (2011).
- [47] W.X. Ma, Y. Zhang, Y.N. Tang and J.Y. Tu, *Hirota bilinear equations with linear subspaces of solutions*, Appl. Math. Comput. **218**, 7174–7183 (2012).
- [48] W.X. Ma, A Hamiltonian structure associated with a matrix spectral problem of arbitrary-order, Phys. Lett. A 367, 473–477 (2007).
- [49] W.X. Ma, Multi-component bi-Hamiltonian Dirac integrable equations, Chaos, Solitons & Frac-

tals 39, 282–287 (2009).

- [50] T.C. Xia, F.J. Yu and Y.F. Zhang, The multi-component coupled Burgers hierarchy of soliton equations and its multi-component integrable couplings system with two arbitrary functions, Physica A 343, 238–246 (2004).
- [51] Z. Li and H.H. Dong, Two integrable couplings of the Tu hierarchy and their Hamiltonian structures, Comput. Math. Appl. 55, 2643–2652 (2008).
- [52] Y.F. Zhang and H.W. Tam, *Coupling commutator pairs and integrable systems*, Chaos, Solitons & Fractals **39**, 1109–1120 (2009).
- [53] X.X. Xu, Integrable couplings of relativistic Toda lattice systems in polynomial form and rational form, their hierarchies and bi-Hamiltonian structures, J. Phys. A: Math. Theoret. **42**, 395201, 21 pp. (2009).
- [54] B.L. Feng and J.Q. Liu, *A new Lie algebra along with its induced Lie algebra and associated with applications*, Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul. **16**, 1734–1741 (2011).
- [55] R. Hirota and M. Ito, Resonance of solitons in one dimension, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 52, 744–748 (1983).