An explicit symmetry constraint for the Lax pairs and the adjoint Lax pairs of AKNS systems
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Abstract

An explicit symmetry constraint is proposed for the Lax pairs and the adjoint Lax pairs of AKNS systems. The corresponding Lax pairs and adjoint Lax pairs are nonlinearized into a hierarchy of commutative, finite-dimensional integrable Hamiltonian systems in the Liouville sense and thus an involutive representation of solutions of AKNS systems is obtained. The purpose of this Letter is to elucidate that the nonlinearization method (i.e. a kind of symmetry constraint method) of integrable systems can be applied to the Lax pairs and the adjoint Lax pairs associated with integrable systems.

1. Introduction

The symmetry constraints give the interrelations between higher-dimensional integrable systems and lower-dimensional integrable systems and provide a direct method to construct solutions of higher-dimensional integrable systems by solving lower-dimensional integrable systems. The interesting symmetry constraints are those on the eigenfunctions of Lax pairs and the potentials of integrable systems [1,2]. The theory includes two lines: constraining \((1+1)\)-dimensional integrable systems to \((1+1)\)-dimensional integrable systems (see, for example, Refs. [1,3–6]) and constraining \((1+2)\)-dimensional integrable systems to \((1+1)\)-dimensional integrable systems (see, for example, Refs. [2,7,8]). Its key is to search for proper symmetry constraints. Two such simplest examples in the cases of the KdV and KP equations are as follows,

\[ u_t(x, t) = \partial \sum_{j=1}^{N} E_j \phi_j^2, \quad E_j = \text{const}, \quad \partial = \frac{d}{dx}, \]

(1.1)

where \(\phi_j\) are the eigenfunctions with eigenvalues \(\lambda_j\) governed by the spectral problem (i.e. the spatial part of the Lax pair) \(\phi_{x\alpha} = \lambda \phi + u \phi\), and

\(^1\) Mailing address.
\[ u_j(x, y, t) = \theta \sum_{j=1}^{N} E_j \phi_j \psi_j, \quad E_j = \text{const}, \quad \partial = \frac{d}{dx}, \quad (1.2) \]

where \( \phi_j \) and \( \psi_j \) are the eigenfunctions governed respectively by the spectral problem \( \phi_j = \phi_{xx} + u \phi \) and the adjoint spectral problem \( \psi_j = \psi_{xx} - u \psi \).

It is known [9] that for a hierarchy of \((1+1)\)-dimensional integrable systems

\[ u_n = K_n = J \frac{\delta H_n}{\delta u} = J L_n \frac{\delta H_0}{\delta u}, \quad n \geq 0, \quad (1.3) \]

possessing the Lax pairs \( \phi_j = U(u, \lambda) \phi, \phi_{\phi_n} = V^{(n)}(u, \lambda) \phi \), the set of solutions of

\[ \frac{\delta I_j}{\delta u} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} E_j \frac{\delta I_j}{\delta u}, \quad E_j = \text{const}, \]

where \( I_j, 0 \leq j \leq N \), and \( N \) conserved densities of (1.3), determines an invariant submanifold of (1.3) and thus leads to important symmetry constraints,

\[ K_n = \sum_{j=1}^{N} E_j \frac{\delta A_j}{\delta u} \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{\delta H_n}{\delta u} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} E_j \frac{\delta A_j}{\delta u}, \quad n \geq 0, \quad (1.4) \]

where \( \lambda_n \), \( 1 \leq n \leq N \), are all the eigenvalues of the spectral problem \( \phi_j = U(u, \lambda) \phi \). Under the constraint of (1.4), the Lax pairs of (1.3) are nonlinearized into a finite-dimensional integrable Hamiltonian system and a hierarchy of finite-dimensional integrable Hamiltonian systems, together with the symmetry constraint (1.4). Moreover the flows of these systems are certain to commute mutually.

In this Letter we shall show by an example of the AKNS case that the symmetry constraint (1.4) can be applied to discuss the nonlinearization problem of the Lax pairs and the adjoint Lax pairs associated with \((1+1)\)-dimensional integrable systems. By taking the Bargmann constraint associated with AKNS systems [10], we successfully generate a new involutive system with \( 4N \) dependent variables and thus establish an involutive representation of solutions of AKNS systems. In Section 2 we first propose some required fundamental properties related to the adjoint representation equation \( V_x = [U, V] \). Then, in Section 3, we consider the Bargmann constraint problem of the Lax pairs and the adjoint Lax pairs of the AKNS systems in detail.

2. Some basic properties

Let \( \mathcal{A} \) denote the differential algebra of differential functions \( u = u(x, t) \) and write

\[ \mathcal{V}^0 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{V}^{(k)}, \quad \mathcal{V}^{(k)} = \left( P^0 \partial^k \right)_{r \times r}, \quad P^0 \in \mathcal{A}, \quad \partial = \frac{d}{dx}. \]

\[ \mathcal{V}^0 = \mathcal{V}^{(0)} \otimes \mathcal{C}[\lambda, \lambda^{-1}] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{V}^{(k)}, \quad \mathcal{V}^{(k)} = \mathcal{V}^{(k)} \otimes \mathcal{C}[\lambda, \lambda^{-1}]. \]

In this section we want to discuss some basic properties connected with a general spectral problem,

\[ \phi_x = U \phi = U(u, \lambda) \phi, \quad U \in \mathcal{V}^{(0)}, \quad (2.1) \]

and its adjoint representation equation

\[ V_x = [U, V], \quad V = V(u, \lambda) \in \mathcal{V}^{(0)}, \quad (2.2) \]

**Proposition 2.1.** Let \( U, V, W \in \mathcal{V}^{(0)} \). If the adjoint representation equation (2.2) and the zero curvature equation

\[ U_t - W_x + [U, W] \]

hold, then the matrix \( A_x = V_x - \left[ W, V \right] = [W, U] - [U, W] \) is

**Proof.** By using (2.2)

\[ A_x = V_x - \left[ W, V \right] = [W, U] - [U, W] \]

The proof is complete.

We note that the \( [U, V], V \in \mathcal{V}^{(0)} \) and \( U \in \mathcal{V}^{(0)} \) are the hierarchy of (2) of proposition 2.1 and

**Corollary 2.1.** For \( V_x = [U, V] = 0 \) if \( \lambda \in \mathcal{A} \).

The above result is for constrained flows.

**Proposition 2.2.** If \( [U, V^\alpha] \), \( n \geq 1 \).

**Proof.** It follows froo

\[ (V^\alpha)_x = \sum_{i=1}^{n} V_{i-1} \]

which completes the proof.

For the spectral problem \( \psi_u = U^* \psi = -U^T \psi \)

where \( T \) means transpose,

\[ U_t - W_x + [U, W] \]

Moreover, we can easily conclude

**Proposition 2.3.** Let \( \mathcal{V} = \phi \psi^* = (\phi, \psi^*)_{r \times r} \).

**Proposition 2.4.** Let \( U, V \in \mathcal{V}^{(0)} \), is the equation

\[ [U_t - W_x + [U, W] \]
\[ U_t - W_x + [U, W] = 0 \quad (2.3) \]

hold, then the matrix \( \mathcal{A} = V_t - [W, V] \) also satisfies the adjoint representation equation (2.2).

**Proof.** By using (2.2) and (2.3), we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{A} &= V_t - [W, V] - [W, V_x] = [U, V] + [V, V_t] - [W, V] - [W, [U, V]] \\
&= [W_x - [U, W], V] + [U, V_t] - [W_x, V] - [W, [U, V]] = -[[V, W], U] + [U, V_t] = [U, \mathcal{A}].
\end{align*}
\]

The proof is completed.

We note that the spectral problem (2.1) generally possesses the uniqueness property [11]: if \( V_x = [U, V], \forall V \in \mathcal{V}^*_0 \) and \( V|_{x=0} = 0 \), then \( V = 0 \). This property corresponds to the uniqueness of the associated integrable hierarchy of (2.1) and thus we call it the uniqueness property. The following result is a direct corollary of proposition 2.1 and the uniqueness property.

**Corollary 2.1.** For the spectral problem (2.1) possessing the above uniqueness property, we have \( \mathcal{A} = V_t - [W, V] = 0 \) if \( \mathcal{A}|_{x=0} = (V_t - [W, V])|_{x=0} = 0 \).

The above result plays a dominant role in the deduction of commutability of the associated flows and the constrained flows.

**Proposition 2.2.** If \( U, V \in \mathcal{V}^*_0 \) satisfy the adjoint representation equation (2.2), then we have \( (V^n)_x = [U, V^n], \, n \geq 1 \).

**Proof.** It follows from \( V_x = [U, V] \) that

\[
(V^n)_x = \sum_{i=1}^{n} V_{x_i} V_{x_{i+1}} \cdots V = \sum_{i=1}^{n} V_{x_i} (VU - VU) V \cdots V = UV^n - V^n U = [U, V^n], \quad n \geq 1,
\]

which completes the proof.

For the spectral problem (2.1) we introduce its adjoint spectral problem

\[
\psi_t = U^* \psi = -U^T \psi, \quad (2.4)
\]

where \( T \) means transposition of the matrix. Obviously

\[
U_t - W_x + [U, W] = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad U^* - W^*_x + [U^*, W^*] = 0, \quad W^* = -W^T. \quad (2.5)
\]

Moreover, we can easily reach the following conclusion.

**Proposition 2.3.** Let \( \phi = (\phi_1, ..., \phi_r)^T \) and \( \psi = (\psi_1, ..., \psi_r)^T \) satisfy (2.1) and (2.4), respectively, and set \( \vec{\phi} = (\phi^T \psi)^T \). Then we have \( \vec{\phi} = [U, V] \).

**Proposition 2.4.** Let \( U, V, W \in \mathcal{V}^*_0 \). Then the compatibility condition of the system

\[
V_x = [U, V], \quad V_t = [W, V] \quad (2.6)
\]

is the equation

\[
[U_t - W_x + [U, W], V] = 0. \quad (2.7)
\]
Proof. By (2.6), we have
\[ V_{ix} = [U, V] + [U, V] = [U, V] + [U, W, V] \quad \text{and} \quad V_{ix} = [W, x, V] + [W, V] = [W, x, V] + [W, U, V] . \]
Therefore \( V_{ix} = V_{xi} \) is equivalent to Eq. (2.7). The proof is completed.

This proposition shows that when \( U_{x} - W_{x} + [U, W] = 0 \), (2.6) is integrable for \( V \).

3. A symmetry constraints of the AKNS case

We consider the AKNS spectral problem
\[ \phi_{x} = U \phi, \quad \phi = \frac{(v)}{w}, \quad \psi = \frac{(v)}{w}, \quad (\psi_{1})_{x} = \frac{1}{E} \phi_{2} \psi_{1}, \tag{3.1} \]
and its adjoint spectral problem
\[ \psi_{x} = U^{*} \psi, \quad U^{*} = - U^{T} \quad \psi = \frac{(v)}{w}, \quad (\psi_{2})_{x} = \frac{1}{E} \phi_{1} \psi_{2} \tag{3.2} \]
Let us first recall the construction of AKNS systems [10, 12]. Setting
\[ V = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & -a \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left( a_{i} \begin{bmatrix} b_{j} \\ c_{j} \end{bmatrix} \right) \lambda^{-i}, \tag{3.3} \]
we see that the adjoint representation equation \( V_{x} = [U, V] \) becomes
\[ a_{j} \lambda = w_{a}, \quad b_{j} = -2b_{i+1} - 2a_{i} - 2a_{i}, \quad c_{j} = 2c_{i+1} + 2a_{i}, \quad i \geq 0. \tag{3.4a} \]
We choose \( a_{0} = -1, b_{0} = c_{0} = 0 \) and assume \( a_{i} |_{u=0} = b_{i} |_{v=0} = c_{i} |_{u=0} = 0, i \geq 1 \) (or equivalently select constants of integration to be zero). In this way, the recursion relation (3.4) uniquely gives a series of polynomial functions with respect to \( u, \psi_{x}, \psi_{y} \). For example, we have
\[ a_{1} = 0, \quad b_{1} = v, \quad c_{1} = w, \quad a_{2} = \frac{1}{2} (vw), \quad b_{2} = \frac{1}{2} (v_{x}), \quad c_{2} = \frac{1}{2} w_{x}. \tag{3.4b} \]
Moreover we have \( a^{2} + bc = 1 \) since \( (a^{2} + bc)_{x} = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} (V_{x}^{2})_{x} = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} [U, V_{x}^{2}] = 0 \) and \( (a^{2} + bc) |_{u=0} = 1 \). At this point, the compatibility conditions of Lax pairs,
\[ \phi_{x} = U \phi, \quad \phi_{x}^{*} = \lambda^{n} V_{x}, \quad \psi_{x} = \lambda^{n} V_{x}, \quad n \geq 0 \tag{3.5} \]
where the plus denotes the choice of the non-negative power of \( \lambda \), determine a hierarchy of AKNS systems,
\[ U_{n} = \begin{bmatrix} (v) \\ (w) \end{bmatrix}, \quad K_{n} = \begin{bmatrix} -2b_{n+1} \\ 2c_{n+1} \end{bmatrix} = JL \begin{bmatrix} v \\ w \end{bmatrix} = J \frac{dH_{n}}{du}, \quad n \geq 0 \tag{3.6} \]
where the Hamiltonian operator \( J \), the recursion operator \( L \) and the Hamiltonian functions \( H_{n} \) read as
\[ J = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -2 \\ 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad L = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \theta & w_{v} \\ -w_{v} & 4 \theta \end{bmatrix}, \quad H_{n} = \frac{2}{n+1} a_{n+2}, \quad n \geq 0. \tag{3.7} \]
When \( u_{n} = K_{n} \), i.e., \( U_{n} = \frac{1}{n+1} V_{x}, \)
which underlines the \( [V_{x}^{(n)}]_{n} - [V_{x}^{(n)}]_{n} + [V_{x}^{(n)}]_{n} \) commutativity of the
Let us now turn to the nonlinearization
\[ \frac{\delta \lambda}{\delta u} = \frac{1}{E} \frac{\delta \psi_{1}}{\delta \psi_{2}}, \tag{3.2} \]
which is exactly what that
\[ L \begin{bmatrix} \psi_{1} \\ \psi_{2} \end{bmatrix} = \lambda^{n} \begin{bmatrix} \psi_{1} \\ \psi_{2} \end{bmatrix} \tag{3.1} \]
Therefore \( \phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \psi_{1}, \psi_{2} \) the nonlinearity
\[ \begin{bmatrix} \psi_{1} \\ \psi_{2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{1} \\ \phi_{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} U(u, \lambda) \\ U(u, \lambda) \end{bmatrix} \tag{3.2} \]
we impose the finit
\[ \delta H_{n} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} E_{j} \frac{\delta \phi_{j}}{\delta \psi_{j}} \tag{3.2} \]
which engenders an e:
\[ \begin{bmatrix} v \\ w \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \psi_{1} \\ \psi_{2} \end{bmatrix} \tag{3.2} \]
where \( \Psi_{1} = (\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \ldots) \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \). By substituting (3
ized Lax pairs)
\[ \begin{bmatrix} \psi_{1} \\ \psi_{2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{1} \\ \phi_{2} \end{bmatrix} \tag{3.2} \]
When \( u_n = K_n \), i.e. \( U_n - V^{(n)} + [U, V^{(n)}] = 0, n \geq 0 \), by corollary 2.1 we can get [13]

\[
V_n = [V^{(n)}, V], \quad n \geq 0,
\]

which underlines the commutability of the constrained systems. It follows from (3.8) and proposition 2.4 that

\[
[V_n^{(m)} - V^{(n)}, [V^{(m)}, V^{(n)}], V] = 0.
\]

In fact, we have [11] \( V_n^{(m)} - V^{(n)} + [V^{(m)}, V^{(n)}] = 0 \), which implies the commutability of the flows of (3.6).

Let us now turn to the nonlinearization problem of the Lax pairs and the adjoint Lax pairs of AKNS systems. From (3.1) and (3.2) we can directly obtain

\[
\frac{\delta l}{\delta u} = \frac{1}{E} \frac{\phi_2 \psi_1}{\phi_1 \psi_2}, \quad E = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (-\phi_1 \psi_1 + \phi_2 \psi_2) \, dx,
\]

which is exactly what we need in the symmetry constraint (1.4). When \( \lim_{|x| \to \infty} \phi = \lim_{|x| \to \infty} \psi = 0 \) we can show that

\[
M\left( \psi_2 \phi_1, \phi_1 \psi_2 \right) = \lambda \left( \phi_2 \psi_1, \phi_1 \psi_2 \right).
\]

Therefore \( \left( \psi_2 \phi_1, \psi_1 \phi_2 \right)^T \) belongs to the invariant space of the recursion operator \( L \), which is a crucial point in the nonlinearization method. Introducing \( N \) distinct eigenvalues \( \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_N \), we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\left( \phi_{ij} \right)_{x} &= U(u, \lambda_j) \left( \phi_{ij} \right), \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, N, \quad (3.11a) \\
\left( \psi_{ij} \right)_{x} &= -U^T(u, \lambda_j) \left( \psi_{ij} \right), \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, N, \quad (3.11b) \\
\left( \phi_{ij} \right)_{in} &= V^{(n)}(u, \lambda_j) \left( \phi_{ij} \right), \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, N, \quad (3.12a) \\
\left( \psi_{ij} \right)_{in} &= -\left( V^{(n)} \right)^T(u, \lambda_j) \left( \psi_{ij} \right), \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, N. \quad (3.12b)
\end{align*}
\]

The compatibility condition of (3.11) and (3.12) is the \( n \)th AKNS system \( u_n = K_n \).

We impose the finite-dimensional invariant space for the AKNS flows

\[
\frac{\delta H_0}{\delta u} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} E_j \frac{\delta \lambda_j}{\delta u}, \quad E_j = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (-\phi_1 \psi_1 + \phi_2 \psi_2) \, dx, \quad (3.13)
\]

which engenders an explicit symmetry constraint

\[
J\left( \begin{array}{c} w \\ \nu \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} \langle \psi_1, \phi_2 \rangle \\ \langle \psi_2, \phi_1 \rangle \end{array} \right) \quad \text{or} \quad u = \left( \begin{array}{c} v \\ w \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} \langle \psi_2, \phi_1 \rangle \\ \langle \psi_1, \phi_2 \rangle \end{array} \right), \quad (3.14)
\]

where \( \psi_1 = (\psi_{11}, \psi_{12}, \ldots, \psi_{1N})^T, \phi_1 = (\phi_{11}, \phi_{12}, \ldots, \phi_{1N})^T, i = 1, 2 \) and \( \langle \ , \ \rangle \) denotes the standard inner product of \( \mathbb{R}^N \). By substituting (3.14) into Lax pairs (3.11) and (3.12) we obtain the constrained Lax pairs (or nonlinearized Lax pairs)

\[
\begin{align*}
\left( \phi_{ij} \right)_{x} &= U(u, \lambda_j) \left( \phi_{ij} \right), \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, N, \quad (3.15a)
\end{align*}
\]
\[
\left( \begin{array}{c}
\psi_j \\
\phi_j
\end{array} \right)_x = -U^T(u, \lambda_j) \left( \begin{array}{c}
\psi_j \\
\phi_j
\end{array} \right), \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, N,
\]
\[
\frac{\partial \psi_j}{\partial \psi_j} = V^{(n)}(u, \lambda_j) \left( \begin{array}{c}
\psi_j \\
\phi_j
\end{array} \right), \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, N,
\]
\[
\frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial \phi_j} = -\left( V^{(n)} \right)^T(u, \lambda_j) \left( \begin{array}{c}
\psi_j \\
\phi_j
\end{array} \right), \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, N,
\]
\( \Psi_{in} = -\frac{\partial F_{n+1}}{\partial \Phi_i}, \quad \Phi_{in} = \frac{\partial F_{n+1}}{\partial \Psi_i}, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad n \geq 0, \) \hspace{1cm} (3.21)

when \((\Psi_1, \Psi_2, \Phi_1, \Phi_2)\) satisfies the spatial part (3.15) of constrained Lax pairs and possesses the property \(\lim_{|x| \to \infty} \Psi_i = \lim_{|x| \to \infty} \Phi_i = 0, i = 1, 2.\) For example, from (3.16) and (3.18) we have

\[
\Psi_{1n} = -\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i A^{-i} \Psi_1 - \sum_{i=0}^{n} c_i A^{-i} \Psi_2 = A^n \Psi_1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i A^{-i} \Psi_1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i A^{-i} \Psi_2
\]

\[
= A^n \Psi_1 - \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{n}{2}} \left( \langle A^{-1} \Psi_1, \Phi_1 \rangle - \langle A^{-1} \Psi_2, \Phi_2 \rangle \right) A^{-i} \Psi_1 - \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{n}{2}} \langle A^{-1} \Psi_1, \Phi_2 \rangle A^{-i} \Psi_2
\]

\[
= -\frac{\partial F_{n+1}}{\partial \Phi_1}, \quad n \geq 1.
\]

Similarly, using (3.8), we know that \(F = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} V^2\) is also a generating function of integrals of motion for (3.21). Therefore

\[
\{F_{m+1}, F_{n+1}\} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t_n} F_{m+1} = 0, \quad m, n \geq 0,
\] \hspace{1cm} (3.22)

where the Poisson bracket is defined by

\[
\{f, g\} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial \psi_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \phi_j} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial \phi_j} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \psi_i} \right).
\] \hspace{1cm} (3.23)

The involution (3.22) of the polynomial functions \(F_m, n \geq 1\), may also be verified by direct computation, and thus does not depend on any boundary condition of \(\Psi_i, \Phi_i, i = 1, 2\). In addition, it is easy to prove that

\[\nabla F_n = (\langle \partial F_n / \partial \Psi_1 \rangle^T, (\partial F_n / \partial \Psi_2 \rangle^T, (\partial F_n / \partial \Phi_1 \rangle^T, (\partial F_n / \partial \Phi_2 \rangle^T), 1 \leq n \leq 2N,\]

are linearly independent by observing that

\[
\frac{\partial F_n}{\partial \Phi_i} \bigg|_{\Phi_i = \Phi_2 = 0} = -A^{-1} \Psi_1, \quad \frac{\partial F_n}{\partial \Psi_2} \bigg|_{\Phi_i = \Phi_2 = 0} = A^{-1} \Psi_2, \quad n \geq 1,
\] \hspace{1cm} (3.24)

and that the Vandermonde determinant \(V(\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_N) \neq 0\). Hence (3.15) and (3.16) are all finite-dimensional integrable systems in the Liouville sense [14]. Moreover when \(\Psi_1(x, t_n), \Psi_2(x, t_n), \Phi_1(x, t_n)\) and \(\Phi_2(x, t_n)\) with the property \(\lim_{|x| \to \infty} \Psi_i = \lim_{|x| \to \infty} \Phi_i = 0, i = 1, 2\), are an involutive solution [15] of the consistent systems (3.15) and (3.16), \(u = (\langle \Psi_1, \Phi_1 \rangle, \langle \Psi_2, \Phi_1 \rangle)^T\) solves the nth AKNS system \(u_n = K_n\). This gives an involutive representation [15] of solutions of AKNS systems.

In what follows we consider the general case without the zero boundary conditions. In this case from (3.11) we have

\[
L \begin{pmatrix} \phi_2 \\ \psi_1 \end{pmatrix} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} \phi_2 \\ \psi_1 \end{pmatrix} + \int \begin{pmatrix} w \\ v \end{pmatrix},
\] \hspace{1cm} (3.25)

where \(E\) is an integral of motion of (3.11). Therefore, under the constraint (3.14), we obtain

\[
\begin{pmatrix} c_{n+1} \\ b_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} = L_n \begin{pmatrix} w \\ v \end{pmatrix} = L_n \begin{pmatrix} \langle \Psi_1, \Phi_2 \rangle \\ \langle \Psi_2, \Phi_1 \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{i=0}^{n} L_i \begin{pmatrix} \langle A^{i+1} \Psi_1, \Phi_2 \rangle \\ \langle A^{i+1} \Psi_2, \Phi_1 \rangle \end{pmatrix}, \quad n \geq 0.
\] \hspace{1cm} (3.26)

Here \(I_0 = I_1 = 1\) and \(I_i, 1 \leq i \leq n\), are integrals of motion of (3.15). From (3.4a), we can calculate that
\[ a_{n+1} = \partial^{-1}(v_{n+1} - wb_{n+1}) = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=0}^{n} I_i(\langle A^{-i} \Psi_1, \Phi_1 \rangle - \langle A^{-i} \Psi_2, \Phi_2 \rangle) + Q_{n+1}, \quad n \geq 0, \tag{3.27} \]

where \( Q_{n+1} \) is also an integral of motion of (3.15). Equalities (3.4b) and (3.4c) require that \( Q_n = -I_n, n \geq 1, \) and thus the quantity \( a_1 = 0 \) leads to \( I_1 = -\frac{1}{2} F_1. \) Furthermore, by \( a^2 + bc = 1 \) we obtain

\[ 2a_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (a_i a_{n-i} + b_i c_{n-i}), \quad n \geq 2. \]

The substitution of (3.26) and (3.27) into the above equality gives rise to

\[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} I_i(\langle A^{-i-1} \Psi_1, \Phi_1 \rangle - \langle A^{-i-1} \Psi_2, \Phi_2 \rangle) = 2I_n \]

\[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} I_i \sum_{k=0}^{n-i} I_k(\langle A^{-i-k} \Psi_1, \Phi_1 \rangle - \langle A^{-i-k} \Psi_2, \Phi_2 \rangle) = 2I_n \]

\[ \times \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} I_i \langle A^{-i} \Psi_1, \Phi_1 \rangle - \langle A^{-i} \Psi_2, \Phi_2 \rangle \right) - 2I_{n-1} \]

\[ + \sum_{i=1}^{n} I_i \langle A^{-i-k} \Psi_2, \Phi_1 \rangle - \langle A^{-i-k} \Psi_1, \Phi_2 \rangle \sum_{k=0}^{n-i} I_k \langle A^{-i-k} \Psi_2, \Phi_2 \rangle, \quad n \geq 2. \tag{3.28} \]

Interchanging the summations in this equality, we get

\[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-i} I_i \sum_{k=0}^{n-i} I_k(\langle A^{-i-k} \Psi_1, \Phi_1 \rangle - \langle A^{-i-k} \Psi_2, \Phi_2 \rangle) = 2I_n \]

\[ - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-i} I_i(\langle A^{-i-k} \Psi_1, \Phi_1 \rangle - \langle A^{-i-k} \Psi_2, \Phi_2 \rangle) - 2I_n \]

\[ = - \sum_{i=1}^{n} I_i \langle A^{-i} \Psi_1, \Phi_1 \rangle - \langle A^{-i} \Psi_2, \Phi_2 \rangle \sum_{k=0}^{n-i} I_k \langle A^{-i-k} \Psi_2, \Phi_2 \rangle, \quad n \geq 2. \]

Based upon this equality and \( I_1 = -\frac{1}{2} F_1 \) we can easily obtain

\[ I_n = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n} I_k I_{n-k} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} I_i I_{n-i}, \quad n \geq 2. \tag{3.29} \]

where the constants \( d_m \) are defined by

\[ d_1 = -\frac{1}{2}, \quad d_2 = \frac{1}{2}, \quad d_m = -d_{m-1} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} d_i d_{m-i-1} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} d_i d_{m-i}, \quad m \geq 3. \tag{3.31} \]

At this stage the time parts (3.16) of the constrained Lax pairs and adjoint Lax pairs may be cast into the form

\[ \Psi_{iu} = -\frac{\partial H_n}{\partial \Phi_i}, \quad \Phi_{iu} = \frac{\partial H_n}{\partial \Psi_i}, \quad i = 1, 2, \tag{3.32a} \]

\[ H_n = \sum_{m=0}^{n} d_m \sum_{i=1}^{n+m} F_{i1} \cdots F_{i(m+1)} (d_0 = 1), \quad n \geq 0. \tag{3.32b} \]

For instance, for \( n \geq 1 \) we have

\[ \Psi_{1n} = -\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i, \]

\[ = A^n \Psi_1 - \sum_{k=0}^{n} I_k, \]

\[ = \sum_{k=0}^{n} I_k A^{-k}, \]

\[ - \sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-k} I_i \Psi_{i+n-k}, \]

\[ = -I_n \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial \Phi_1}, \]

\[ = -I_0 \frac{\partial F_{n+1}}{\partial \Phi_1}, \]

\[ = -I_0 \frac{\partial F_{n+1}}{\partial \Phi_1}, \]

\[ = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \Phi_1} \sum_{m=0}^{n} d_m. \]

The above manipulations of AKNS systems. We require that \( u_n = K_n, \) once \( \Psi_{1n} \) simultaneously.

By now, we can finish the integrable systems. We would like to apply these other integrable systems in this representation of systems.
\[ \Psi_{1n} = -\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i A^{n-i} \Psi_1 - \sum_{i=0}^{n} c_i A^{n-i} \Psi_2 \]

\[ = A^n \Psi_1 - \sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} I_k \langle A^{-1-k} \Psi_1, \Phi_i \rangle - \langle A^{-1-k} \Psi_2, \Phi_i \rangle \right) - 2I_1 A^{n-i} \Psi_1 \]

\[ - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} I_k \langle A^{-1-k} \Psi_1, \Phi_i \rangle \right) A^{n-i} \Psi_2 \]

\[ = \sum_{k=0}^{n} I_k A^{n-k} \Psi_1 - \sum_{k=0}^{n} I_k \sum_{i=k+1}^{n} \frac{1}{2} \left( \langle A^{-1-k} \Psi_1, \Phi_i \rangle - \langle A^{-1-k} \Psi_2, \Phi_i \rangle \right) A^{n-i} \Psi_1 \]

\[ - \sum_{k=0}^{n} I_k \sum_{i=k+1}^{n} \langle A^{-1-k} \Psi_1, \Phi_i \rangle A^{n-i} \Psi_2 \]

\[ = -I_0 \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial \Phi_1} - \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{d_m} \sum_{i_1+\cdots+i_m=k} F_{i_1} \cdots F_{i_m} \frac{\partial F_{n-k+1}}{\partial \Phi_1} \]

\[ = -I_0 \frac{\partial F_{n+1}}{\partial \Phi_1} - \sum_{m=1}^{n} \frac{1}{d_m} \sum_{i_1+\cdots+i_m=k} F_{i_1} \cdots F_{i_m} \frac{\partial F_{n-k+1}}{\partial \Phi_1} \]

\[ = -I_0 \frac{\partial F_{n+1}}{\partial \Phi_1} - \sum_{m=1}^{n} \frac{1}{d_m} \sum_{i_1+\cdots+i_m=k} F_{i_1} \cdots F_{i_m+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \Phi_1} \]

\[ = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \Phi_1} \sum_{m=1}^{n} \frac{1}{d_m} \sum_{i_1+\cdots+i_m=k} F_{i_1} \cdots F_{i_m+1} = -\frac{\partial H_n}{\partial \Phi_1} . \]

The above manipulation also allows us to establish the more general involutive representations of solutions of AKNS systems. More precisely, we have the solution \( u = \langle \Psi_2, \Phi_1 \rangle, w = \langle \Psi_1, \Phi_2 \rangle \) to the \( n \)th AKNS system \( u_n = K_n \), once \( \Psi_1(x, t_n), \Psi_2(x, t_n), \Phi_1(x, t_n), \Phi_2(x, t_n) \) solve the constrained systems (3.15) and (3.16) simultaneously.

By now, we finish the nonlinearization procedure for the Lax pairs and the adjoint Lax pairs of AKNS systems. We would like to emphasize that the above nonlinearization trick for Lax pairs and adjoint Lax pairs may be applied to other \( 1+1 \) integrable systems (see Ref. [16]). Moreover, we wish that the deeper properties of integrable systems could be exploited by the constrained Lax systems. For instance, the resulting involutive representations of solutions possibly contain soliton solutions.
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Abstract

With the help of a corrigendum for the equation an

1. Introduction

It is well known \([L, T] = 0\), where \(L = \ldots\) results from the condition \(-3\beta \partial_x^{-1} u + \partial_t\). The

\[ L = f_1(y, t) \partial_t^2 \]
\[ -2f_2 \partial_x u_x + \partial_t \]

where the coefficients depend on the coefficients. In this paper, we show that the dependence is given by the

2. The general KP equation

Let

\[ L = f_1(x, y, t) \partial_t^2 \]
\[ T = f_2(x, y, t) \partial_x u_x + f_3(x, y, t) \]
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