

# A COMBINED GENERALIZED KAUP–NEWELL SOLITON HIERARCHY AND ITS HEREDITARY RECURSION OPERATOR AND BI-HAMILTONIAN STRUCTURE

Wen-Xiu Ma<sup>\*†‡§</sup>

*On the basis of a specific matrix Lie algebra, we propose a Kaup–Newell-type matrix eigenvalue problem with four potentials and compute an associated soliton hierarchy within the zero-curvature formulation. A hereditary recursion operator and a bi-Hamiltonian structure are presented to show the Liouville integrability of the resulting soliton hierarchy. An illustrative example is a novel model consisting of combined derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equations with two arbitrary constants.*

**Keywords:** matrix eigenvalue problem, zero-curvature equation, integrable hierarchy, derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equations

DOI: 10.1134/S0040577924100027

## 1. Introduction

Integrable models are associated with matrix eigenvalue problems [1], [2], called Lax pairs [3], and they possess hereditary recursion operators, which generate symmetries from symmetries, and bi-Hamiltonian structures, which connect symmetries with conserved quantities [4]. Matrix eigenvalue problems are also used to establish inverse scattering transforms, which solve initial value problems [1]. Integrable models have various applications in physical sciences and engineering, such as water waves, nonlinear optics, and quantum mechanics [2].

There are well-known examples of integrable models, including the Ablowitz–Kaup–Newell–Segur integrable models [5] and their integrable couplings [6]. Matrix Lie algebras lay a strong foundation for integrable models within the zero-curvature formulation [6]–[8]. It is always intriguing to see what kind of matrix eigenvalue problems can yield integrable models. In this paper, we propose a novel Kaup–Newell-type  $4 \times 4$  matrix eigenvalue problem and construct an associated soliton hierarchy, starting from a specific matrix Lie algebra.

---

<sup>\*</sup>Department of Mathematics, Zhejiang Normal University, Zhejiang, China, e-mail: mawx@cas.usf.edu.

<sup>†</sup>Department of Mathematics, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia.

<sup>‡</sup>Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of South Florida, Tampa, USA.

<sup>§</sup>Material Science Innovation and Modelling, Department of Mathematical Sciences, North-West University, Mafikeng Campus, Mmabatho, South Africa.

---

Prepared from an English manuscript submitted by the author; for the Russian version, see *Teoreticheskaya i Matematicheskaya Fizika*, Vol. 221, No. 1, pp. 18–30, October, 2024. Received February 28, 2024. Revised February 28, 2024. Accepted April 21, 2024.

The zero-curvature formulation is powerful in constructing integrable models (see [8], [9] for details). As usual, we let  $u = (u_1, \dots, u_q)^T$  denote a column potential vector and  $\lambda$  denote the spectral parameter. Let  $\tilde{g}$  be a given loop matrix algebra  $\tilde{g}$  with the loop parameter  $\lambda$ . A matrix  $F_0$  in  $\tilde{g}$  is said to be pseudo-regular if it satisfies

$$\text{Im ad}_{F_0} \oplus \text{Ker ad}_{F_0} = \tilde{g}, \quad [\text{Ker ad}_{F_0}, \text{Ker ad}_{F_0}] = 0, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\text{ad}_{F_0}$  denotes the adjoint action of  $F_0$  on  $\tilde{g}$ . We take one pseudo-regular matrix  $F_0$  and  $q$  linear independent matrices  $F_1, \dots, F_q$  in  $\tilde{g}$  to introduce a spatial spectral matrix

$$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}(u, \lambda) = F_0(\lambda) + u_1 F_1(\lambda) + \dots + u_q F_q(\lambda). \quad (1.2)$$

Then we compute a Laurent series solution

$$Z = \sum_{n \geq 0} \lambda^{-n} Z^{[n]},$$

of the stationary zero-curvature equation

$$Z_x = [\mathcal{M}, Z] \quad (1.3)$$

in the underlying loop algebra  $\tilde{g}$ . The pseudoregularity guarantees the existence of such Laurent series solutions.

The second step is to find an infinite sequence of temporal spectral matrices

$$\mathcal{N}^{[m]} = (\lambda^m Z)_+ + \Delta_m, \quad (\lambda^m Z)_+ = \sum_{n=0}^m \lambda^{m-n} Z^{[n]}, \quad m \geq 0, \quad \Delta_m \in \tilde{g}, \quad (1.4)$$

that provide the other parts of Lax pairs, such that the zero curvature equations

$$\mathcal{M}_{t_m} - \mathcal{N}_x^{[m]} + [\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}^{[m]}] = 0, \quad m \geq 0, \quad (1.5)$$

produce a soliton hierarchy

$$u_{t_m} = X^{[m]} = X^{[m]}(u), \quad m \geq 0. \quad (1.6)$$

The zero-curvature equations in (1.5) actually represent the solvability conditions of the spatial and temporal matrix eigenvalue problems:

$$\varphi_x = \mathcal{M}\varphi, \quad \varphi_{t_m} = \mathcal{N}^{[m]}\varphi, \quad m \geq 0. \quad (1.7)$$

To determine the modification terms  $\Delta_m$ ,  $m \geq 0$ , we often need the trial and error strategy.

The third step is to furnish a bi-Hamiltonian structure for the resulting soliton hierarchy (1.6), by determining a hereditary recursion operator and applying the so-called trace identity,

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta u} \int \text{tr} \left( Z \frac{\partial \mathcal{M}}{\partial \lambda} \right) dx = \lambda^{-\kappa} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \lambda^\kappa \text{tr} \left( Z \frac{\partial \mathcal{M}}{\partial u} \right), \quad (1.8)$$

where  $\delta/\delta u$  is the variational derivative with respect to  $u$ , and  $\kappa$  is a constant independent of the spectral parameter  $\lambda$ . It then follows that every member of the soliton hierarchy has a bi-Hamiltonian structure and thus Liouville integrability (see, e.g., [8]–[10]).

Various hierarchies of Liouville integrable models exist in the literature [5]–[20]. Among the one-component integrable hierarchies are the Korteweg–de Vries hierarchy, the nonlinear Schrödinger hierarchy, and the modified Korteweg–de Vries hierarchy [1], [2]. The case of two components is also very popular, and the well-known examples include the Ablowitz–Kaup–Newell–Segur integrable hierarchy [5], the Heisenberg integrable hierarchy [21], the Kaup–Newell integrable hierarchy [22], and the Wadati–Konno–Ichikawa integrable hierarchy [23]. All those soliton hierarchies are associated with  $2 \times 2$  spectral matrices. The case of higher-order spectral matrices leads to a higher level of difficulty.

Our aim in this paper is to propose a specific Kaup–Newell-type  $4 \times 4$  spectral matrix and construct an associated hierarchy of four-component Liouville integrable models within the zero-curvature formulation, based on a special matrix Lie algebra. A hereditary recursion operator and a bi-Hamiltonian structure are determined to show the Liouville integrability for the resulting soliton hierarchy. An illustrative example is presented, which consists of combined generalized derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equations. A summary and concluding remarks are given in the final section (Sec. 4).

## 2. A soliton hierarchy with four potentials

We take an arbitrary real number  $\delta$  and a square matrix  $T$  of order  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that

$$T^2 = I_r, \quad (2.1)$$

where  $I_r$  stands for the identity matrix of order  $r$ . We define a set  $\tilde{g}$  of block matrices

$$\tilde{g} = \left\{ A = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & A_2 \\ A_3 & A_4 \end{bmatrix}_{2r \times 2r} \mid A_4 = TA_1T^{-1}, \quad A_3 = \delta TA_2T^{-1} \right\}. \quad (2.2)$$

Obviously, this forms a matrix Lie algebra, with the matrix commutator  $[A, B] = AB - BA$  being the Lie bracket. In what follows, we use this matrix Lie algebra in the case  $r = 2$ ,  $\delta = 1$  and

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (2.3)$$

to formulate a specific spectral matrix.

Let  $u = u(x, t) = (u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4)^T$  be a column vector with four potentials, and  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  be two arbitrary real numbers; we assume that

$$\alpha = \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 \neq 0. \quad (2.4)$$

Motivated by recent diverse studies on matrix eigenvalue problems involving four potentials (see, e.g., [24]–[27] and [28], [29] for examples of respective arbitrary-order and fourth-order matrix eigenvalue problems), we introduce and study a matrix eigenvalue problem of the form

$$\varphi_x = \mathcal{M}\varphi = \mathcal{M}(u, \lambda)\varphi, \quad \mathcal{M} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \lambda u_1 & \lambda u_2 & \alpha_1 \lambda^2 \\ \lambda u_3 & 0 & \alpha_2 \lambda^2 & \lambda u_4 \\ \lambda u_4 & \alpha_2 \lambda^2 & 0 & \lambda u_3 \\ \alpha_1 \lambda^2 & \lambda u_2 & \lambda u_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (2.5)$$

where  $\lambda$  is again the spectral parameter. This spectral matrix  $\mathcal{M}$  is built from the above matrix Lie algebra  $\tilde{g}$ , and it is a kind of  $4 \times 4$  matrix generalization of the Kaup–Newell eigenvalue problem [22]. It is not an easy job to determine a Lax pair of  $4 \times 4$  matrix eigenvalue problems that produces a hierarchy of

integrable models. Interestingly, the above eigenvalue problem generates an associated integrable hierarchy possessing a hereditary recursion operator and a bi-Hamiltonian structure. All equations in the hierarchy exhibit specific combined structures.

To construct an associated soliton hierarchy, we usually start with the corresponding stationary zero-curvature equation (1.3). Based on the presented matrix Lie algebra, we try to take

$$Z = \begin{bmatrix} a & b & e & f \\ c & -a & -f & g \\ g & -f & -a & c \\ f & e & b & a \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \lambda^{-n} Z^{[n]}. \quad (2.6)$$

The reason to take this form is that with the specific spectral matrix  $\mathcal{M}$  in (2.5), an arbitrary matrix in  $\tilde{g}$  leads to a commutator matrix of the above form (2.6). Consequently, the corresponding stationary zero-curvature equation (1.3) becomes

$$\begin{cases} a_x = \lambda c u_1 + \lambda g u_2 - \lambda b u_3 - \lambda e u_4, \\ b_x = \alpha \lambda^2 e - 2 \lambda a u_1 - 2 \lambda f u_2, \\ c_x = -\alpha \lambda^2 g + 2 \lambda a u_3 + 2 \lambda f u_4, \end{cases} \quad (2.7)$$

$$\begin{cases} e_x = \alpha \lambda^2 b - 2 \lambda f u_1 - 2 \lambda a u_2, \\ g_x = -\alpha \lambda^2 c + 2 \lambda f u_3 + 2 \lambda a u_4, \\ f_x = \lambda g u_1 + \lambda c u_2 - \lambda e u_3 - \lambda b u_4. \end{cases} \quad (2.8)$$

Given these equations, the basic objects of a solution  $Z$  can be assumed to be as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} a &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \lambda^{-2n} a^{[n]}, & b &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \lambda^{-2n-1} b^{[n]}, & c &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \lambda^{-2n-1} c^{[n]}, \\ e &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \lambda^{-2n-1} e^{[n]}, & f &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \lambda^{-2n} f^{[n]}, & g &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \lambda^{-2n-1} g^{[n]}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

To determine a solution  $Z$  recursively, the following two equations

$$\begin{aligned} -\alpha \lambda f_x &= u_3 b_x + u_1 c_x + u_4 e_x + u_2 g_x, \\ -\alpha \lambda a_x &= u_4 b_x + u_2 c_x + u_3 e_x + u_1 g_x \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

are crucial, which can be verified directly. At this moment, we can see that Eqs. (2.7) and (2.8) lead to the two initial equations

$$\begin{aligned} a_x^{[0]} &= u_1 c^{[0]} + u_2 g^{[0]} - u_3 b^{[0]} - u_4 e^{[0]}, \\ f_x^{[0]} &= u_1 g^{[0]} + u_2 c^{[0]} - u_3 e^{[0]} - u_4 b^{[0]} \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

and the recursion relations for the Laurent series solution:

$$\begin{cases} a_x^{[n+1]} = -\frac{1}{\alpha} (u_4 b_x^{[n]} + u_2 c_x^{[n]} + u_3 e_x^{[n]} + u_1 g_x^{[n]}), \\ f_x^{[n+1]} = -\frac{1}{\alpha} (u_3 b_x^{[n]} + u_1 c_x^{[n]} + u_4 e_x^{[n]} + u_2 g_x^{[n]}), \end{cases} \quad (2.12)$$

$$\begin{cases} b^{[n+1]} = \frac{1}{\alpha} (e_x^{[n]} + 2u_1 f^{[n+1]} + 2u_2 a^{[n+1]}), \\ c^{[n+1]} = \frac{1}{\alpha} (-g_x^{[n]} + 2u_3 f^{[n+1]} + 2u_4 a^{[n+1]}), \end{cases} \quad (2.13)$$

$$\begin{cases} e^{[n+1]} = \frac{1}{\alpha} (b_x^{[n]} + 2u_1 a^{[n+1]} + 2u_2 f^{[n+1]}), \\ g^{[n+1]} = \frac{1}{\alpha} (-c_x^{[n]} + 2u_3 a^{[n+1]} + 2u_4 f^{[n+1]}), \end{cases} \quad (2.14)$$

where  $n \geq 0$ . To achieve the uniqueness of the Laurent series solution, we fix the initial data by solving (2.11),

$$\begin{aligned} b^{[0]} &= \beta u_1 + \gamma u_2, & c^{[0]} &= \beta u_3 + \gamma u_4, \\ e^{[0]} &= \beta u_2 + \gamma u_1, & g^{[0]} &= \beta u_4 + \gamma u_3, \\ a^{[0]} &= \text{const}, & f^{[0]} &= \text{const} \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

with two arbitrary constants  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$ , and select the constants of integration in (2.12) to be zero:

$$a^{[n]}|_{u=0} = 0, \quad f^{[n]}|_{u=0} = 0, \quad n \geq 1. \quad (2.16)$$

The initial values for  $a^{[0]}$  and  $f^{[0]}$  do not affect all other coefficients in the Laurent series solution, but the two constants  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  bring the diversity of the associated integrable models, exhibiting a combined structure in the resulting models. We can now compute that

$$\begin{cases} a^{[1]} = -\frac{1}{\alpha}[(\gamma u_3 + \beta u_4)u_1 + (\beta u_3 + \gamma u_4)u_2], \\ f^{[1]} = -\frac{1}{\alpha}[(\beta u_3 + \gamma u_4)u_1 + (\gamma u_3 + \beta u_4)u_2], \\ b^{[1]} = \frac{1}{\alpha}\{\gamma u_{1,x} + \beta u_{2,x} - \frac{2}{\alpha}[(\beta u_3 + \gamma u_4)u_1 + (\gamma u_3 + \beta u_4)u_2]u_1 - \\ \quad - \frac{2}{\alpha}[(\gamma u_3 + \beta u_4)u_1 + (\beta u_3 + \gamma u_4)u_2]u_2\}, \\ c^{[1]} = \frac{1}{\alpha}\{-\gamma u_{3,x} - \beta u_{4,x} - \frac{2}{\alpha}[(\beta u_3 + \gamma u_4)u_1 + (\gamma u_3 + \beta u_4)u_2]u_3 - \\ \quad - \frac{2}{\alpha}[(\gamma u_3 + \beta u_4)u_1 + (\beta u_3 + \gamma u_4)u_2]u_4\}, \\ e^{[1]} = \frac{1}{\alpha}\{\beta u_{1,x} + \gamma u_{2,x} - \frac{2}{\alpha}[(\gamma u_3 + \beta u_4)u_1 + (\beta u_3 + \gamma u_4)u_2]u_1 - \\ \quad - \frac{2}{\alpha}[(\beta u_3 + \gamma u_4)u_1 + (\gamma u_3 + \beta u_4)u_2]u_2\}, \\ g^{[1]} = \frac{1}{\alpha}\{-\beta u_{3,x} - \gamma u_{4,x} - \frac{2}{\alpha}[(\gamma u_3 + \beta u_4)u_1 + (\beta u_3 + \gamma u_4)u_2]u_3 - \\ \quad - \frac{2}{\alpha}[(\beta u_3 + \gamma u_4)u_1 + (\gamma u_3 + \beta u_4)u_2]u_4\}. \end{cases}$$

Based on a careful inspection of the corresponding zero-curvature equation, we can introduce the temporal matrix eigenvalue problems

$$\varphi_{t_m} = \mathcal{N}^{[m]}\varphi = \mathcal{N}^{[m]}(u, \lambda)\varphi, \quad \mathcal{N}^{[m]} = \lambda(\lambda^{2m+1}Z)_+, \quad m \geq 0 \quad (2.17)$$

where the subscript  $+$  denotes the polynomial part of  $\lambda$  as in (1.4), to generate associated integrable models. The solvability conditions of the spatial and temporal matrix eigenvalue problems in (2.5) and (2.17) are exactly the zero-curvature equations in (1.5). All those zero-curvature equations yield a soliton hierarchy with four potentials:

$$u_{t_m} = X^{[m]} = X^{[m]}(u) = (b_x^{[m]}, e_x^{[m]}, c_x^{[m]}, g_x^{[m]})^T, \quad m \geq 0. \quad (2.18)$$

More precisely, we obtain a hierarchy of models with four equations

$$u_{1,t_m} = b_x^{[m]}, \quad u_{2,t_m} = e_x^{[m]}, \quad u_{3,t_m} = c_x^{[m]}, \quad u_{4,t_m} = g_x^{[m]}, \quad m \geq 0. \quad (2.19)$$

The first nonlinear example in this hierarchy is the model of generalized integrable derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equations

$$\begin{cases} u_{1,t_1} = \frac{1}{\alpha}(\gamma u_{1,xx} + \beta u_{2,xx}) - \frac{2}{\alpha^2}\{[(\beta u_3 + \gamma u_4)u_1 + (\gamma u_3 + \beta u_4)u_2]u_1\}_x - \\ \quad - \frac{2}{\alpha^2}\{(\gamma u_3 + \beta u_4)u_1 + (\beta u_3 + \gamma u_4)u_2\}u_2\}_x, \\ u_{2,t_1} = \frac{1}{\alpha}(\beta u_{1,xx} + \gamma u_{2,xx}) - \frac{2}{\alpha^2}\{[(\gamma u_3 + \beta u_4)u_1 + (\beta u_3 + \gamma u_4)u_2]u_1\}_x - \\ \quad - \frac{2}{\alpha^2}\{(\beta u_3 + \gamma u_4)u_1 + (\gamma u_3 + \beta u_4)u_2\}u_2\}_x, \\ u_{3,t_1} = -\frac{1}{\alpha}(\gamma u_{3,xx} + \beta u_{4,xx}) - \frac{2}{\alpha^2}\{[(\beta u_3 + \gamma u_4)u_1 + (\gamma u_3 + \beta u_4)u_2]u_3\}_x - \\ \quad - \frac{2}{\alpha^2}\{(\gamma u_3 + \beta u_4)u_1 + (\beta u_3 + \gamma u_4)u_2\}u_4\}_x, \\ u_{4,t_2} = -\frac{1}{\alpha}(\beta u_{3,xx} + \gamma u_{4,xx}) - \frac{2}{\alpha^2}\{[(\gamma u_3 + \beta u_4)u_1 + (\beta u_3 + \gamma u_4)u_2]u_3\}_x - \\ \quad - \frac{2}{\alpha^2}\{(\beta u_3 + \gamma u_4)u_1 + (\gamma u_3 + \beta u_4)u_2\}u_4\}_x. \end{cases} \quad (2.20)$$

This system provides a combined integrable model with four components, which broadens the category of coupled integrable models of nonlinear Schrödinger type equations (see, e.g., [30]–[32]). One point worth mentioning is that each equation in (2.20) contains a linear combination of two derivative terms of the second order, and we therefore say that such a model is a combined model.

Two special subcases,  $\beta = 0$  and  $\gamma = 0$ , in the resulting soliton hierarchy provide uncombined integrable models.

If we take  $\alpha = \beta = 1$  and  $\gamma = 0$  in model (2.20), we obtain a coupled integrable derivative nonlinear Schrödinger model

$$\begin{cases} u_{1,t_1} = u_{2,xx} - 2[(u_1 u_3 + u_2 u_4)u_1 + (u_1 u_4 + u_2 u_3)u_2]_x, \\ u_{2,t_1} = u_{1,xx} - 2[(u_1 u_4 + u_2 u_3)u_1 + (u_1 u_3 + u_2 u_4)u_2]_x, \\ u_{3,t_1} = -u_{4,xx} - 2[(u_1 u_3 + u_2 u_4)u_3 + (u_1 u_4 + u_2 u_3)u_4]_x, \\ u_{4,t_1} = -u_{3,xx} - 2[(u_1 u_4 + u_2 u_3)u_3 + (u_1 u_3 + u_2 u_4)u_4]_x. \end{cases} \quad (2.21)$$

If we take  $\alpha = \gamma = 1$  and  $\beta = 0$  in model (2.20), we obtain another coupled integrable derivative nonlinear Schrödinger model,

$$\begin{cases} u_{1,t_1} = u_{1,xx} - 2[(u_1 u_4 + u_2 u_3)u_1 + (u_1 u_3 + u_2 u_4)u_2]_x, \\ u_{2,t_1} = u_{2,xx} - 2[(u_1 u_3 + u_2 u_4)u_1 + (u_1 u_4 + u_2 u_3)u_2]_x, \\ u_{3,t_1} = -u_{3,xx} - 2[(u_1 u_4 + u_2 u_3)u_3 + (u_1 u_3 + u_2 u_4)u_4]_x, \\ u_{4,t_1} = -u_{4,xx} - 2[(u_1 u_3 + u_2 u_4)u_3 + (u_1 u_4 + u_2 u_3)u_4]_x. \end{cases} \quad (2.22)$$

There is an interesting phenomenon that the obtained two models just exchange the first component with the second component and the third component with the fourth component in the vector fields in the right-hand sides. Surprisingly, those two uncombined models still commute with each other.

### 3. Recursion operator and the bi-Hamiltonian structure

To propose a bi-Hamiltonian structure to show the Liouville integrability of soliton hierarchy (2.19), we can use trace identity (1.8) for the spatial matrix eigenvalue problem in (2.5). From the Laurent series solution  $Z$  in (2.6), we readily obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}\left(Z \frac{\partial \mathcal{M}}{\partial \lambda}\right) &= 2(2\alpha\lambda f + bu_3 + cu_1 + eu_4 + gu_2), \\ \text{tr}\left(Z \frac{\partial \mathcal{M}}{\partial u}\right) &= 2(\lambda c, \lambda g, \lambda b, \lambda e)^T, \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

and as a consequence of these computations, the trace identity generates

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta}{\delta u} \int \lambda^{-2n-1} (2\alpha f^{[n+1]} + u_3 b^{[n]} + u_4 e^{[n]} + u_1 c^{[n]} + u_2 g^{[n]}) dx = \\ = \lambda^{-\kappa} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \lambda^{\kappa-2n} (c^{[n]}, g^{[n]}, b^{[n]}, e^{[n]})^T, \quad n \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Checking with  $n = 1$  leads to  $\kappa = 0$ , and we therefore arrive at

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta u} \mathcal{H}^{[n]} = (c^{[n]}, g^{[n]}, b^{[n]}, e^{[n]})^T, \quad n \geq 0, \quad (3.3)$$

where the Hamiltonian functionals are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}^{[0]} = \int \frac{1}{2} [u_1(\beta u_3 + \gamma u_4) + u_2(\beta u_4 + \gamma u_3) + \\ + u_3(\beta u_1 + \gamma u_2) + u_4(\beta u_2 + \gamma u_1)] dx, \\ \mathcal{H}^{[n]} = - \int \frac{1}{2n} (2\alpha f^{[n+1]} + u_3 b^{[n]} + u_1 c^{[n]} + u_4 e^{[n]} + u_2 g^{[n]}) dx, \quad n \geq 1. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

The above Hamiltonian functionals  $\mathcal{H}^{[0]}$  have been computed directly. The results in (3.3) enable us to produce a Hamiltonian structure for soliton hierarchy (2.19),

$$u_{t_m} = X^{[m]} = J_1 \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}^{[m]}}{\delta u}, \quad m \geq 0, \quad (3.5)$$

where the Hamiltonian operator  $J_1$  is defined by

$$J_1 = \left[ \begin{array}{cc|cc} 0 & \partial & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \partial & 0 \\ \hline \partial & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \partial & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right], \quad (3.6)$$

and the functionals  $\mathcal{H}^{[m]}$  are determined by (3.4). With this Hamiltonian structure, we have an interrelation  $Y = J_1 \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta u}$  between a symmetry  $Y$  and a conserved functional  $\mathcal{H}$  of each model in the hierarchy.

The characteristic Abelian algebra of vector fields  $X^{[n]}$

$$[X^{[n_1]}, X^{[n_2]}] = X^{[n_1]'}(u)[X^{[n_2]}] - X^{[n_2]'}(u)[X^{[n_1]}] = 0, \quad n_1, n_2 \geq 0, \quad (3.7)$$

can be derived from the algebra of Lax operators

$$[\mathcal{N}^{[n_1]}, \mathcal{N}^{[n_2]}] = \mathcal{N}^{[n_1]'}(u)[X^{[n_2]}] - \mathcal{N}^{[n_2]'}(u)[X^{[n_1]}] + [\mathcal{N}^{[n_1]}, \mathcal{N}^{[n_2]}] = 0 \quad (3.8)$$

for  $n_1, n_2 \geq 0$ . This is a direct result from the relation between the isospectral zero-curvature equations (see [33] for details).

On the other hand, based on the recursion relation  $X^{[m+1]} = \Phi X^{[m]}$ , we can derive a hereditary recursion operator  $\Phi = (\Phi_{jk})_{4 \times 4}$  [4] for soliton hierarchy (2.19). The operator  $\Phi$  is given by

$$\begin{cases} \Phi_{11} = -\frac{2}{\alpha^2}(\partial u_1 \partial^{-1} u_3 + \partial u_2 \partial^{-1} u_4), \\ \Phi_{12} = \frac{1}{\alpha} \partial_x - \frac{2}{\alpha^2}(\partial u_1 \partial^{-1} u_4 + \partial u_2 \partial^{-1} u_3), \\ \Phi_{13} = -\frac{2}{\alpha^2}(\partial u_1 \partial^{-1} u_1 + \partial u_2 \partial^{-1} u_2), \\ \Phi_{14} = -\frac{2}{\alpha^2}(\partial u_1 \partial^{-1} u_2 + \partial u_2 \partial^{-1} u_1); \end{cases} \quad (3.9)$$

$$\begin{cases} \Phi_{21} = \frac{1}{\alpha} \partial_x - \frac{2}{\alpha^2}(\partial u_1 \partial^{-1} u_4 + \partial u_2 \partial^{-1} u_3), \\ \Phi_{22} = -\frac{2}{\alpha^2}(\partial u_1 \partial^{-1} u_3 + \partial u_2 \partial^{-1} u_4), \\ \Phi_{23} = -\frac{2}{\alpha^2}(\partial u_1 \partial^{-1} u_2 + \partial u_2 \partial^{-1} u_1), \\ \Phi_{24} = -\frac{2}{\alpha^2}(\partial u_1 \partial^{-1} u_1 + \partial u_2 \partial^{-1} u_2); \end{cases} \quad (3.10)$$

$$\begin{cases} \Phi_{31} = -\frac{2}{\alpha^2}(\partial u_3 \partial^{-1} u_3 + \partial u_4 \partial^{-1} u_4), \\ \Phi_{32} = -\frac{2}{\alpha^2}(\partial u_3 \partial^{-1} u_4 + \partial u_4 \partial^{-1} u_3), \\ \Phi_{33} = -\frac{2}{\alpha^2}(\partial u_3 \partial^{-1} u_1 + \partial u_4 \partial^{-1} u_2), \\ \Phi_{34} = -\frac{1}{\alpha} \partial_x - \frac{2}{\alpha^2}(\partial u_3 \partial^{-1} u_2 + \partial u_4 \partial^{-1} u_1); \end{cases} \quad (3.11)$$

$$\begin{cases} \Phi_{41} = -\frac{2}{\alpha^2}(\partial u_3 \partial^{-1} u_4 + \partial u_4 \partial^{-1} u_3), \\ \Phi_{42} = -\frac{2}{\alpha^2}(\partial u_3 \partial^{-1} u_3 + \partial u_4 \partial^{-1} u_4), \\ \Phi_{43} = -\frac{1}{\alpha} \partial_x - \frac{2}{\alpha^2}(\partial u_3 \partial^{-1} u_2 + \partial u_4 \partial^{-1} u_1), \\ \Phi_{44} = -\frac{2}{\alpha^2}(\partial u_3 \partial^{-1} u_1 + \partial u_4 \partial^{-1} u_2). \end{cases} \quad (3.12)$$

The hereditariness of  $\Phi$  means [34] that it satisfies

$$L_{\Phi X} \Phi = \Phi L_X \Phi \quad (3.13)$$

for an arbitrary vector field  $X$ , where the Lie derivative  $L_X \Phi$  is defined by

$$(L_X \Phi)Y = \Phi [X, Y] - [X, \Phi Y].$$

An operator  $\Psi = \Psi(x, t, u, u_x, \dots)$  is a recursion operator of a given evolution equation  $u_t = X(u)$  iff  $\Psi$  satisfies

$$\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t} + L_X \Psi = 0. \quad (3.14)$$

We can readily verify that  $L_{X^{[0]}} \Phi = 0$ , whence

$$L_{X^{[m]}} \Phi = L_{\Phi X^{[m-1]}} \Phi = \Phi L_{X^{[m-1]}} \Phi = \dots = \Phi^m L_{X^{[0]}} \Phi = 0, \quad m \geq 1. \quad (3.15)$$

This implies that  $\Phi$  is a recursion operator for each model in hierarchy (2.19). Several symbolic algorithms are also available in the literature for computing recursion operators of given nonlinear partial differential equations directly (see, e.g., [35]).

By direct analysis, we can show that  $J_1$  and  $J_2 = \Phi J_1$  constitute a Hamiltonian pair. Namely, an arbitrary linear combination  $J$  of  $J_1$  and  $J_2$  is again Hamiltonian, because it satisfies

$$\int (Y^{[1]})^T J'(u) [J Y^{[2]}] Y^{[3]} dx + \text{cycle}(Y^{[1]}, Y^{[2]}, Y^{[3]}) = 0, \quad (3.16)$$

where the  $Y^{[i]}$  are arbitrary vector fields. Accordingly, hierarchy (2.19) possesses a bi-Hamiltonian structure [36]:

$$u_{t_m} = X^{[m]} = J_1 \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}^{[m]}}{\delta u} = J_2 \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}^{[m-1]}}{\delta u}, \quad m \geq 1. \quad (3.17)$$

It then follows that the associated Hamiltonian functionals commute with each other under the corresponding two Poisson brackets [8]:

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mathcal{H}^{[n_1]}, \mathcal{H}^{[n_2]}\}_{J_1} &= \int \left( \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}^{[n_1]}}{\delta u} \right)^T J_1 \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}^{[n_2]}}{\delta u} dx = 0, & n_1, n_2 \geq 0. \\ \{\mathcal{H}^{[n_1]}, \mathcal{H}^{[n_2]}\}_{J_2} &= \int \left( \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}^{[n_1]}}{\delta u} \right)^T J_2 \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}^{[n_2]}}{\delta u} dx = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

The bi-Hamiltonian structure also implies the hereditary property of the recursion operator  $\Phi$  [4].

To conclude, each model in hierarchy (2.19) is Liouville integrable and possesses infinitely many commuting symmetries  $\{X^{[n]}\}_{n=0}^\infty$  and conserved functionals  $\{\mathcal{H}^{[n]}\}_{n=0}^\infty$ . One particular illustrative integrable model is the system in (2.20), which adds to the existing category of nonlinear combined Liouville integrable Hamiltonian models with four components.

#### 4. Conclusions

Based on a specific matrix Lie algebra, a Kaup–Newell type  $(4 \times 4)$  matrix eigenvalue problem was proposed and a hierarchy of four-component integrable models was successfully generated within the zero-curvature formulation. The key is to find a particular Laurent series solution of the corresponding stationary zero-curvature equation. The resulting soliton hierarchy was shown to be bi-Hamiltonian and therefore Liouville integrable, by determining a hereditary recursion operator and a Hamiltonian structure.

It would be interesting to explore what kind of mathematical structures of solitons could exist for the resulting integrable models. Various powerful and effective approaches could be used, including the Riemann–Hilbert technique [37], the Zakharov–Shabat dressing method [38], the Darboux transformation [39]–[41], and the determinant approach [42]. Besides soliton solutions, lump, kink, breather, and rogue-wave solutions, particular interactions between them (see, e.g., [43]–[50]) are also of great interest. They can be derived from soliton solutions by conducting wave number reductions. Nonlocal reduced integrable models are another important area; they can be generated by taking nonlocal group reductions or similarity transformations of matrix eigenvalue problems (see, e.g., [51]–[53]). It is a novel topic to explore solitons of nonlocal integrable models that are significant in mathematics and physics.

Integrable models are of great interest, and they are built around connections with various branches of mathematics, such as algebraic geometry, Lie theory, and the theory of Hamiltonian equations. The interplay between mathematics and physics enriches both fields and often leads to discoveries of new mathematical structures.

**Funding.** The work was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under the grants Nos. 12271488, 11975145, and 11972291, and the Ministry of Science and Technology of China under the grants Nos. G2021016032L and G2023016011L.

**Conflict of interest.** The author of this work declares that he has no conflicts of interest.

#### REFERENCES

1. M. J. Ablowitz and H. Segur, *Solitons and the Inverse Scattering Transform*, SIAM Studies in Applied Mathematics, Vol. 4, SIAM, Philadelphia, PA (1981).

2. A. Das, *Integrable Models* (Lecture Notes in Physics), Vol. 30, World Sci., Teaneck, NJ (1989).
3. P. D. Lax, “Integrals of nonlinear equations of evolution and solitary waves,” *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.*, **21**, 467–490 (1968).
4. B. Fuchssteiner and A. S. Fokas, “Symplectic structures, their Bäcklund transformations and hereditary symmetries,” *Phys. D*, **4**, 47–66 (1981).
5. M. J. Ablowitz, D. J. Kaup, A. C. Newell, and H. Segur, “The inverse scattering transform-Fourier analysis for nonlinear problems,” *Stud. Appl. Math.*, **53**, 249–315 (1974).
6. W. X. Ma, “Integrable couplings and matrix loop algebras,” in: *Nonlinear and Modern Mathematical Physics* (Tampa, Florida, USA, 9–11 March, 2013), AIP Conference Proceedings, Vol. 1562, no. 1 (W. X. Ma and D. Kaup, eds.), American Institute of Physics, Melville, NY (2013), pp. 105–122.
7. V. G. Drinfeld and V. V. Sokolov, “Lie algebras and equations of Korteweg–de Vries type,” *J. Soviet Math.*, **30**, 1975–2036 (1985).
8. G. Z. Tu, “On Liouville integrability of zero-curvature equations and the Yang hierarchy,” *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*, **22**, 2375–2392 (1989).
9. W. X. Ma, “A new hierarchy of Liouville integrable generalized Hamiltonian equations and its reduction,” *Chin. J. Contemp. Math.*, **13**, 79–89 (1992).
10. C. S. Liu, “How many first integrals imply integrability in infinite-dimensional Hamilton system,” *Rep. Math. Phys.*, **67**, 109–123 (2011).
11. M. Antonowicz and A. P. Fordy, “Coupled KdV equations with multi-Hamiltonian structures,” *Phys. D*, **28**, 345–357 (1987).
12. T. C. Xia, F. J. Yu, and Y. Zhang, “The multi-component coupled Burgers hierarchy of soliton equations and its multi-component integrable couplings system with two arbitrary functions,” *Phys. A*, **343**, 238–246 (2004).
13. W. X. Ma, “Multi-component bi-Hamiltonian Dirac integrable equations,” *Chaos Solitons Fractals*, **39**, 282–287 (2009).
14. W. X. Ma, “A soliton hierarchy associated with  $so(3, \mathbb{R})$ ,” *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **220**, 117–122 (2013).
15. S. Manukure, “Finite-dimensional Liouville integrable Hamiltonian systems generated from Lax pairs of a bi-Hamiltonian soliton hierarchy by symmetry constraints,” *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul.*, **57**, 125–135 (2018).
16. T. S. Liu and T. C. Xia, “Multi-component generalized Gerdjikov–Ivanov integrable hierarchy and its Riemann–Hilbert problem,” *Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl.*, **68**, 103667, 14 pp. (2022).
17. H. F. Wang and Y. F. Zhang, “Application of Riemann–Hilbert method to an extended coupled nonlinear Schrödinger equations,” *Comput. Appl. Math.*, **420**, 114812, 14 pp. (2023).
18. V. S. Gerdjikov, “Nonlinear evolution equations related to Kac–Moody algebras  $A_r^{(1)}$ : spectral aspects,” *Turkish J. Math.*, **46**, 1828–1844 (2022).
19. W. X. Ma, “AKNS type reduced integrable bi-Hamiltonian hierarchies with four potentials,” *Appl. Math. Lett.*, **145**, 108775, 6 pp. (2023).
20. W. X. Ma, “AKNS type reduced integrable hierarchies with Hamiltonian formulations,” *Rom. J. Phys.*, **68**, 116, 10 pp. (2023).
21. L. A. Takhtajan, “Integration of the continuous Heisenberg spin chain through the inverse scattering method,” *Phys. Lett. A*, **64**, 235–237 (1977).
22. D. J. Kaup and A. C. Newell, “An exact solution for a derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation,” *J. Math. Phys.*, **19**, 798–801 (1978).
23. M. Wadati, K. Konno, and Y. H. Ichikawa, “New integrable nonlinear evolution equations,” *J. Phys. Soc. Japan*, **47**, 1698–1700 (1979).
24. W. X. Ma, “A Liouville integrable hierarchy with four potentials and its bi-Hamiltonian structure,” *Rom. Rep. Phys.*, **75**, 115, 10 pp. (2023).
25. W. X. Ma, “Four-component integrable hierarchies and their Hamiltonian structures,” *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul.*, **126**, 107460, 7 pp. (2023).
26. W. X. Ma, “Integrable couplings and two-dimensional unital algebras,” *Axioms*, **13**, 481, 8 pp. (2024).

27. W. X. Ma, “A combined derivative nonlinear Schrödinger soliton hierarchy,” *Rep. Math. Phys.*, **93**, 313–325 (2024).

28. Y. F. Zhang, “A few expanding integrable models, Hamiltonian structures and constrained flows,” *Commun. Theoret. Phys.*, **55**, 273–290 (2011).

29. Zhaqilao, “A generalized AKNS hierarchy, bi-Hamiltonian structure, and Darboux transformation,” *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul.*, **17**, 2319–2332 (2012).

30. W. X. Ma, “A combined Liouville integrable hierarchy associated with a fourth-order matrix spectral problem,” *Commun. Theoret. Phys. (Beijing)*, **76**, 075001, 8 pp. (2024).

31. W. X. Ma, “Four-component combined integrable equations possessing bi-Hamiltonian formulations,” *Mod. Phys. Lett. B*, **38**, 24503196 (2024), Online ready.

32. W. X. Ma, “Novel Liouville integrable Hamiltonian models with six components and three signs,” *Chinese J. Phys.*, **86**, 292–299 (2023).

33. W. X. Ma, “The algebraic structure of zero curvature representationn and application to coupled KdV systems,” *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*, **26**, 2573–2582 (1993).

34. B. Fuchssteiner, “Application of hereditary symmetries to nonlinear evolution equations,” *Nonlinear Anal.*, **3**, 849–862 (1979).

35. D. E. Baldwin and W. Hereman, “A symbolic algorithm for computing recursion operators of nonlinear partial differential equations,” *Int. J. Comput. Math.*, **87**, 1094–1119 (2010).

36. F. Magri, “A simple model of the integrable Hamiltonian equation,” *J. Math. Phys.*, **19**, 1156–1162 (1978).

37. S. P. Novikov, S. V. Manakov, L. P. Pitaevskii, and V. E. Zakharov, *Theory of Solitons. The Inverse Scattering Methods*, Consultants Bureau, New York (1984).

38. E. V. Doktorov and S. B. Leble, *A Dressing Method in Mathematical Physics*, Mathematical Physics Studies, Vol. 28, Springer, Dordrecht (2007).

39. V. Matveev and M. A. Salle, *Darboux Transformations and Solitons*, Springer Series in Nonlinear Dynamics, Vol. 5, Springer, New York (1991).

40. X. G. Geng, R. M. Li, and B. Xue, “A vector general nonlinear Schrödinger equation with  $(m+n)$  components,” *J. Nonlinear Sci.*, **30**, 991–1013 (2020).

41. W. X. Ma, “Binary Darboux transformation of vector nonlocal reverse-time integrable NLS equations,” *Chaos Solitons Fractals*, **180**, 114539, 7 pp. (2024).

42. T. Aktosun, T. Busse, F. Demontis, and C. van der Mee, “Symmetries for exact solutions to the nonlinear Schrödinger equation,” *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.*, **43**, 025202, 14 pp. (2010).

43. L. Cheng, Y. Zhang, and M. J. Lin, “Lax pair and lump solutions for the  $(2+1)$ -dimensional DJKM equation associated with bilinear Bäcklund transformations,” *Anal. Math. Phys.*, **9**, 1741–1752 (2019).

44. T. A. Sulaiman, A. Yusuf, A. Abdeljabbar, and M. Alquran, “Dynamics of lump collision phenomena to the  $(3+1)$ -dimensional nonlinear evolution equation,” *J. Geom. Phys.*, **169**, 104347, 11 pp. (2021).

45. A. Yusuf, T. A. Sulaiman, A. Abdeljabbar, and M. Alquran, “Breather waves, analytical solutions and conservation lawn using Lie–Bäcklund symmetries to the  $(2+1)$ -dimensional Chaffee–Infante equation,” *J. Ocean Eng. Sci.*, **8**, 145–151 (2023).

46. S. Manukure, A. Chowdhury, and Y. Zhou, “Complexiton solutions to the asymmetric Nizhnik–Novikov–Veselov equation,” *Internat. J. Modern Phys. B*, **33**, 1950098, 13 pp. (2019).

47. Y. Zhou, S. Manukure, and M. McAnally, “Lump and rogue wave solutions to a  $(2+1)$ -dimensional Boussinesq type equation,” *J. Geom. Phys.*, **167**, 104275, 7 pp. (2021).

48. W. X. Ma, “Lump waves in a spatial symmetric nonlinear dispersive wave model in  $(2+1)$ -dimensions,” *Mathematics*, **11**, 4664, 9 pp. (2023).

49. S. Manukure and Y. Zhou, “A study of lump and line rogue wave solutions to a  $(2+1)$ -dimensional nonlinear equation,” *J. Geom. Phys.*, **167**, 104274, 12 pp. (2021).

50. S. X. Yang, Y. F. Wang, and X. Zhang, “Conservation laws, Darboux transformation and localized waves for the  $N$ -coupled nonautonomous Gross–Pitaevskii equations in the Bose–Einstein condensates,” *Chaos Solitons Fractals*, **169**, 113272, 15 pp. (2023).

51. W. X. Ma, “Reduced nonlocal integrable mKdV equations of type  $(-\lambda, \lambda)$  and their exact soliton solutions,” *Commun. Theor. Phys.*, **74**, 065002, 6 pp. (2022).
52. W. X. Ma, Y. H. Huang, F. D. Wang, Y. Zhang, and L. Y. Ding, “Binary Darboux transformation of vector nonlocal reverse-space nonlinear Schrödinger equations,” *Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys.*, **21**, 2450182, 18 pp. (2024).
53. W. X. Ma, “Integrable non-local nonlinear Schrödinger hierarchies of type  $(-\lambda^*, \lambda)$  and soliton solutions,” *Rep. Math. Phys.*, **92**, 19–36 (2023).

**Publisher’s Note.** Pleiades Publishing remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations. AI tools may have been used in the translation or editing of this article.