

A Note on Minimal Boolean Formula Size of One-Dimensional Cellular Automata

EVANGELOS GEORGIADIS*

Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, U.S.A

Received 15 January 2007; Accepted 18 January 2007

In this note, we disprove 44 claims in [4] on minimal Boolean formula size of one-dimensional two-state nearest neighbor cellular automata as well as set a new upper bound.

Key words: Cellular Automata, Boolean formula minimization, Boolean functions

In [4] Wolfram asserts to have found minimal Boolean formulas for (what he denotes) rules of one-dimensional, two-state, nearest neighbor cellular automata (CA) or simply *elementary rules*. These formulas are minimal in the sense that they “use the minimum possible number of operators” over basis $\Omega_1 = \{0, 1, \neg, \wedge, \vee, \oplus\}$.

Provided that elementary rules can be interpreted as 3-input Boolean functions and visualized via their respective truth table representation, we would like to draw attention to result (a) of [2], which states that the maximal formula size for 3-input Boolean functions over basis Ω_1 is 5. This result clearly disproves the minimalistic nature of Wolfram’s 8 Boolean formulas in [4] of size 6 and sets a new upper bound on formula size.

We enumerate all 256 3-input Boolean functions via their respective truth table representation and their output column Boolean vector $\hat{\alpha}$ where $\alpha_i \in \{0, 1\}$. Each of the 256 functions represents one permutation of eight binary bits in the output column Boolean vector

$$\hat{\alpha} = [\alpha_0 \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_7].$$

* email: egeorg@mit.edu

We can now specify all 256 Boolean functions with a decimal number $N = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, 255\}$.

$$N = \sum_{i=0}^7 \alpha_i 2^{7-i}$$

For sake of completeness, we provide an example of how Wolfram's enumeration scheme works using CA rule 110.

p	q	r	$f(p, q, r)$
1	1	1	0
1	1	0	1
1	0	1	1
1	0	0	0
0	1	1	1
0	1	0	1
0	0	1	1
0	0	0	0

$$N = 0(2^7) + 1(2^6) + 1(2^5) + 0(2^4) + 1(2^3) + 1(2^2) + 1(2^1) + 0(2^0) = 110.$$

A Boolean formula, ϕ , is an expression constructed from propositional variables with logical connectives. Properties are outlined next.

Symbol	Meaning	Size
\neg	Not	1
\wedge	And	1
\vee	Or	1
\oplus	Xor	1
1	True	0
0	False	0

Additionally, we define the size of a given Boolean formula ϕ , or simply $\text{size}(\phi)$, as the number of occurrences of logical connectives.

Note that, $\text{size}(\text{True}) = \text{size}(\text{False}) = 0$.

A Boolean formula is *minimal* if and only if for every formula ψ , shorter in size than ϕ , there exists an assignment of the variables such that ϕ and ψ evaluate to different values.

The following elementary rules or Boolean functions are represented by minimal Boolean formulas :

rule	minimal Boolean formula	rule	minimal Boolean formula
2	$r \wedge \neg(p \vee q)$	133	$\neg(p \oplus r) \wedge (q \vee \neg p)$
16	$p \oplus (p \wedge (r \vee q))$	138	$r \wedge (\neg p \vee q)$
18	$\neg q \wedge (p \oplus r)$	145	$\neg((r \wedge \neg p) \vee (q \oplus r))$
22	$p \oplus ((p \wedge q) \vee (q \oplus r))$	151	$(p \wedge q) \oplus \neg(r \wedge (q \vee p))$
25	$\neg((p \wedge q) \vee (q \oplus r))$	155	$\neg((q \oplus r) \wedge (q \vee p))$
33	$\neg(q \vee (p \oplus r))$	157	$\neg((p \vee r) \wedge (q \oplus r))$
37	$\neg((q \wedge r) \vee (p \oplus r))$	167	$\neg((p \oplus r) \wedge (q \vee p))$
61	$\neg(p \vee r) \vee (p \oplus q)$	181	$\neg((p \oplus r) \wedge (r \vee q))$
67	$\neg((r \wedge p) \vee (p \oplus q))$	183	$\neg(q \wedge (p \oplus r))$
72	$q \wedge (p \oplus r)$	184	$p \oplus (q \wedge (p \oplus r))$
91	$r \oplus (p \vee \neg(r \vee q))$	188	$(r \wedge q) \vee (p \oplus q)$
101	$r \oplus (\neg p \vee q)$	191	$\neg(q \wedge p) \vee r$
103	$\neg(r \vee p) \vee (q \oplus r)$	199	$\neg((p \oplus q) \wedge (r \vee p))$
104	$(p \wedge q) \oplus (r \wedge (q \vee p))$	207	$\neg p \vee q$
107	$(p \wedge q) \oplus (r \vee \neg(q \vee p))$	211	$\neg((p \oplus q) \wedge (r \vee q))$
109	$r \oplus \neg((r \vee p) \wedge (p \oplus q))$	218	$(r \wedge q) \vee (p \oplus r)$
110	$(\neg p \wedge r) \vee (q \oplus r)$	222	$q \vee (p \oplus r)$
121	$r \oplus \neg((r \vee q) \wedge (p \oplus q))$	223	$\neg(p \wedge r) \vee q$
122	$(\neg q \wedge r) \vee (p \oplus r)$	226	$r \oplus (q \wedge (p \oplus r))$
123	$\neg q \vee (p \oplus r)$	230	$(p \wedge q) \vee (q \oplus r)$
124	$(\neg r \wedge q) \vee (p \oplus q)$	233	$r \oplus \neg((r \wedge q) \vee (p \oplus q))$
131	$\neg(p \oplus q) \wedge (r \vee \neg p)$	247	$\neg(r \wedge q) \vee p$

Remark 0.1 *The formulas provided by Wolfram [4] for these rules are not minimal. Moreover for 8 of these cannot be minimal even by simple inspection since minimal formula sizes for 3-input Boolean functions over this basis never exceeds 5.*

Remark 0.2 *These formulas could be used for an efficient implementation of an elementary CA simulator. For more information, we refer the interested reader to [1].*

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author is very grateful to Michael Sipser of M.I.T. as well as Peter Gacs, Norman Margolus, Jeffrey Shallit, Klaus Sutner and the referees for helpful comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] F. Bagnoli, P. Palmerini and R. Rechtman, Mapping criticality into self criticality, Phys. Rev. E. 55, 3970, 1997.
- [2] E. Georgiadis, I. Wegener, On maximal formula size of minimal boolean formulas, Unpublished, 2006.
- [3] I. Wegener, *The Complexity of Boolean Functions*, John Wiley & Sons, 1987.
- [4] S. Wolfram, *A New Kind of Science*, Wolfram Media, Inc., pp. 884-885, 2002.