Collapse of charge ordering and enhancement of magnetocaloric effect in nanocrystalline La_{0.35}Pr_{0.275}Ca_{0.375}MnO_3

M. H. Phan,^{1,a)} S. Chandra,¹ N. S. Bingham,¹ H. Srikanth,^{1,b)} C. L. Zhang,² S. W. Cheong,² T. D. Hoang,³ and H. D. Chinh³

¹Department of Physics, University of South Florida, Tampa, Florida 33620, USA

²Department of Physics and Astronomy and Rutgers Center for Emergent Materials, Rutgers University,

³Department of Inorganic Chemistry, Hanoi University of Technology, 1 Dai Co Viet Str., Hanoi, Vietnam

(Received 23 July 2010; accepted 22 November 2010; published online 13 December 2010)

We demonstrate the possibility of enhancing both the magnetocaloric effect (MCE) and refrigerant capacity (RC) in nanostructured mixed phase manganites. A comparative study of the magnetic and magnetocaloric properties of $La_{0.35}Pr_{0.275}Ca_{0.375}MnO_3$ in single crystalline and nanocrystalline forms is presented. While the conventional trend is reduction of magnetization and MCE with nanostructuring, we show that the opposite is true in the case of mixed phase manganites. The charge-ordered state is largely suppressed and ferromagnetic order is established in the nanocrystalline sample with an average particle size of 50 nm. Consequently, a strong enhancement of MCE and RC and a strong reduction of thermal and field hysteresis losses are achieved in the nanocrystalline sample. This finding opens up a way of exploring magnetic refrigerant materials at the nanometer scale for active magnetic refrigerators. © 2010 American Institute of Physics. [doi:10.1063/1.3526380]

Magnetic refrigeration is a cooling technology based on the magnetocaloric effect (MCE).¹ It has several advantages (e.g., higher efficiency, compactness, and environmental safety) over conventional gas compression techniques. Current research is focused on seeking materials that are costeffective and exhibit large refrigerant capacity (RC), which is a measure of the amount of heat transfer between the cold and hot sinks in an ideal refrigeration cycle.²⁻⁴ The RC depends not only on the magnitude of magnetic entropy change (ΔS_M) , but also on its temperature dependence [e.g., the full width at half maximum of the $\Delta S_M(T)$ peak].^{5,6} In this context, magnetic nanoparticles are more desirable than their bulk counterparts, because the particle size distribution and interparticle interactions have been shown to broaden $\Delta S_{M}(T)$ over a wide temperature range thus enhancing RC.^{7–9} In addition, magnetic nanoparticles can easily be assembled as thin films through self-assembly, which are essential for applications of a cooling device for microelectromechanical systems (MEMS) and nanoelectromechanical systems (NEMS).^{8,9} However, a significant reduction in ΔS_M is often obtained in the nanoparticle sample,^{7,9} resulting mainly from the significant reduction of the magnetization on size reduction, due to the loss of long-range ferromagnetic order and the large local magnetic disorder on surface of the nanoparticles. This tends to negate the promise of enhanced RC due to the broad MCE over a large temperature range. Thus it continues to remain challenging to enhance both the ΔS_M and RC in magnetic nanoparticle systems in spite of their desired properties.

In this letter, we demonstrate the strong enhancement of ΔS_M and RC and the strong reduction of hysteretic losses in charge-ordered La_{0.35}Pr_{0.275}Ca_{0.375}MnO₃ upon size reduction to the nanometer scale (50 nm). This finding provides an

opportunity for developing nanoscale magnetic refrigerant materials for active magnetic refrigerators.

 $La_{5/8-v}Pr_vCa_{3/8}MnO_3$ with y=0.275 was chosen for the purpose of this study, since it possesses coexisting low temperature ferromagnetic (FM) and high-temperature charge ordering (CO) states that could vary on size reduction.¹⁰ The single crystalline samples (referred to as bulk henceforth in the paper) were synthesized in an optical floating-zone furnace. The nanocrystalline samples (referred to as nano) were prepared by sol-gel method. Lanthanum, praseodymium, calcium, and manganese nitrate as raw materials were of analytical grade and were used as starting materials without further purification. These solutions were mixed and citric acid was used as a complex activity with these metal cations. Gel was get at 100 °C and drying at 120 °C. This gel was heated at 500 °C for 3 h in oxygen flow in order to decompose and burn the almost organic compounds. Finally, the nanocrystalline samples were obtained after annealing at 850 °C for 7 h in a flow of oxygen gas. The x-ray diffraction (XRD) confirmed the phase purity of the samples. The particle size of



FIG. 1. A SEM image of nanocrystalline $La_{0.35}Pr_{0.275}Ca_{0.375}MnO_3.$ The inset is a TEM image of this sample.

0003-6951/2010/97(24)/242506/3/\$30.00

97, 242506-1

© 2010 American Institute of Physics

Author complimentary copy. Redistribution subject to AIP license or copyright, see http://apl.aip.org/apl/copyright.jsp

Piscataway, New Jersey 08854, USA

^{a)}Electronic mail: phanm@usf.edu.

^{b)}Electronic mail: sharihar@usf.edu.



FIG. 2. (Color online) ZFC and FC magnetization data taken at a 10 mT applied field for the bulk and nanocrystalline $La_{0.35}Pr_{0.275}Ca_{0.375}MnO_3$ samples.

the nanocrystalline sample was determined to be about 50 ± 5 nm by XRD, scanning electron microscopy (SEM), and transmission electron microscopy (TEM). A typical SEM image along with TEM for the nanocrystalline sample is shown in Fig. 1. Magnetic and magnetocaloric measurements were conducted using a commercial physical property measurement system from Quantum Design with a temperature range of 5–300 K and applied fields up to 7 T.

Figure 2 and its inset display the temperature dependence of zero-field-cooled (ZFC) and field-cooled (FC) magnetization taken at a field of 10 mT for the bulk sample and for the nanocrystalline sample, respectively. Clearly, the bulk



FIG. 3. (Color online) The hysteresis loops taken at 200 and 75 K for the bulk (a) and nano (b) samples. The inset shows a family of isothermal magnetization curves taken at different fixed temperatures between 10 and 300 K for the nanocrystalline sample.



FIG. 4. (Color online) Temperature dependence of magnetic entropy change $-\Delta S_M$ at different applied fields up to 5 T for the nanocrystalline sample. The inset shows the temperature dependence of $-\Delta S_M$ for $\Delta \mu_0 H=5$ T for the bulk and nano samples.

sample undergoes multiple magnetic transitions. A peak at T_{CO} ~ 210 K is due to the CO transition, and a shoulder at a lower temperature, $T_N \sim 175$ K, arises from the antiferromagnetic (AFM) order. As T is further decreased, the magnetization sharply increases and an FM transition is observed at $T_{C} \sim 75$ K.^{10,11} However, the case is very different for the nano sample, which undergoes a paramagnetic to ferromagnetic (PM-FM) transition at $T_C \sim 215$ K followed by a small jump in the magnetization at a low temperature of ~ 45 K (see inset of Fig. 2). This implies that the CO state is largely suppressed and the FM order is established in the nano sample.¹²⁻¹⁴ To check for the presence of any thermal hysteresis in the PM-FM transition region for the nano sample, we measured the FC magnetization both while heating and cooling the specimen. As one can see clearly in inset of Fig. 2, the nano sample possesses relatively small thermal hysteresis (below 1 K), which is desirable for active magnetic refrigeration (AMR).

Figures 3(a) and 3(b) show the M-H loops that illustrate the field hysteresis losses of the bulk and nano samples. The hysteretic losses are determined by computing the hatched area inside each M-H curve. It is observed in Fig. 3(a) that just below the T_{CO} the bulk sample exhibits relatively large field hysteresis losses, which become more pronounced with lowering temperature. This may be reconciled with the fact that below the $T_{\rm CO}$ both CO/AFM and FM phases coexist and that the FM phase develops with lowering temperature.¹⁰ The largest hysteretic losses observed around the first-order FM transition ($T_C \sim 75$ K) arise mainly from the strongest phase separation that occurs at this temperature.^{10,11} The field hysteresis losses of the bulk sample are greatly reduced in the nano sample [Fig. 3(b)], which is desirable for AMR.^{5,6} Another remarkable feature in Fig. 3 is that at 200 K (which is just below the T_{CO} for the bulk sample and just below the T_C for the nano sample), the low-field magnetization (below 2.5 T) is much larger for the nano sample than for the bulk sample. This clearly indicates the enhancement of the magnetization in the nano sample.

Further evidence is seen in the inset of Fig. 3(b) from the isothermal M-H curves for the nano sample. Clearly, the large variations in the magnetization are achieved around the T_C at low magnetic fields (<2 T). This is desirable for domestic applications of magnetic refrigerators, where, instead of using "expensive" superconducting magnets, "inexpen-

Author complimentary copy. Redistribution subject to AIP license or copyright, see http://apl.aip.org/apl/copyright.jsp

Material	T _p (K)	$\Delta \mu_0 \mathrm{H}$ (T)	ΔS _M ^{max} (J/kg K)	RC (J/kg)	Reference
$La_{0.35}Pr_{0.275}Ca_{0.375}MnO_3$ (50 nm)	215	5	6.2	225.6	Present
$La_{0.215}Pr_{0.41}Ca_{0.375}MnO_3$ (bulk)	210	5	5.3	143.1 ^a	11
$Na_0 5Ca_0 5MnO_3$ (bulk)	225	6	3.45	86.3 ³	15
$Pr_{0.65}(Ca_{0.7}Sr_{0.3})_{0.35}MnO_3$ (bulk)	215	7	7.8	273 ^a	12
$Pr_{0.65}(Ca_{0.7}Sr_{0.3})_{0.35}MnO_3$ (67 nm)	225	5	6.0	180^{a}	13
$La_{0.67}Ca_{0.33}MnO_3$ (bulk)	250	1	5.1	56.2 ^a	7
$La_{0.67}Ca_{0.33}MnO_3$ (60 nm)	250	1	1.75	33.5 ^a	7
$La_{0.35}Pr_{0.275}Ca_{0.375}MnO_3$ (50 nm)	215	1	2.94	37.2	Present

TABLE I. Maximum entropy change, $|\Delta S_M^{max}|$, and refrigerant capacity at the peak temperature, T_p , for the bulk and nanocrystalline La_{0.35}Pr_{0.275}Ca_{0.375}MnO₃ and other magnetocaloric materials, within the similar temperature range.

^aThe RC calculated without subtracting hysteretic losses. The RC values of these bulk samples will be significantly reduced after subtracting hysteretic losses, as they undergo a first-order magnetic transition.

sive" permanent magnets can be used as a magnetic field source.¹ Remarkably, around the T_C the nano sample shows *S*-shape magnetization, which is typical for metamagnetic materials.⁶ This feature, coupled with the M-T (Fig. 2) and M-H (Fig. 3) data, clearly suggests that the CO volume fraction is much smaller in the nano sample relative to its bulk counterpart, and as a result, a low magnetic field is sufficient to melt the CO and convert it into the FM state.¹²⁻¹⁴

Finally, the ΔS_M of the bulk and nano samples was calculated from a family of the isotherms [see inset of Fig. 3(b), for example] using the Maxwell relation, ΔS_{M} $=\mu_0 \int (\partial M / \partial T)_H dH$, where M is the magnetization, H is the magnetic field, and T is the temperature.¹ The temperature dependence of $-\Delta S_M$ at different magnetic field changes up to 5 T for the nano sample is plotted in Fig. 4. For comparison, the temperature dependence of $-\Delta S_M$ for $\Delta \mu_0 H=5$ T for the bulk and nano samples is shown in inset of Fig. 4. Interestingly, the large $-\Delta S_M$ is sustained over a wide temperature range around the T_C for the nano sample. In comparison with the bulk sample within the same temperature range (around 210 K), the magnitude of $-\Delta S_M$ is larger and the $-\Delta S_M(T)$ curve is much broader for the nano sample (see inset of Fig. 4). Therefore, a much larger RC is expected for the nano sample. To confirm this, the RC of both the bulk and nano samples was calculated as $RC = \int \Delta S_M(T) dT$.⁶ For $\Delta \mu_0 H=5$ T, the RC calculated for the nano sample for the case around the T_C (~215 K) is 225.06 J/kg, while for the bulk sample they are 61.28 J/kg for the case around the T_{CO} $(\sim 210 \text{ K})$ and 187.96 J/kg for the case around the T_C $(\sim 75 \text{ K})$. As noted in previous studies,^{5,6} because the field hysteresis losses are the costs in energy to make one cycle of the magnetic field, they must be considered when calculating the RC of a magnetic refrigerant material being subjected to field cycling. Therefore, we have subtracted the average hysteretic losses from the RC values calculated without accounting for the hysteretic losses (Fig. 3). The RC after subtracting the average hysteretic losses for the nano sample (225.06 J/kg) is about seven times and three times larger than for the bulk sample for the cases around the T_{CO} (34.64 J/kg) around the T_C (77.43 J/kg), respectively. The noted drop of the RC after subtracting the average hysteretic losses for the bulk sample for the case around the T_C (decreases from 187.96 to 77.43 J/kg) is the result of the large field hysteresis losses. To put our results in perspective, we summarize in Table I the values of $-\Delta S_M^{max}$ and RC of the present La_{0.35}Pr_{0.275}Ca_{0.375}MnO₃ materials and some other known

magnetocaloric materials from the literature, within the similar temperature range. Clearly, the $-\Delta S_M^{max}$ and RC values of nanocrystalline $La_{0.35}Pr_{0.275}Ca_{0.375}MnO_3$ are significantly larger than those of the other candidates. The excellent magnetocaloric properties of this sample, in addition to its cost effectiveness, make it an attractive candidate material for use in MEMS and NEMS.

In summary, we have demonstrated the strong enhancement of the magnetocaloric effect and refrigerant capacity and the strong reduction of hysteretic losses in charge-ordered $La_{0.35}Pr_{0.275}Ca_{0.375}MnO_3$ at the nanometer scale. The origin of the large magnetocaloric effect is attributed to the collapse of charge ordering and the establishment of ferro-magnetic tendency at the surface of the nanoparticles. Our studies open up an opportunity for developing nanoscale magnetic materials for active magnetic refrigerators.

Work at USF was supported by DOE BES Physical Behavior of Materials Program through Grant No. DE-FG02-07ER46438. Work at Rutgers was supported by Grant No. DE-FG02-07ER46382. Work at HUT was supported by NAFOSTED through Grant No. 104.02.74.09.

- ¹M. H. Phan and S. C. Yu, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **308**, 325 (2007).
- ²T. Samanta, I. Das, and S. Banerjee, Appl. Phys. Lett. **91**, 082511 (2007).
 ³S. Gorsse, B. Chevalier, and G. Orveillon, Appl. Phys. Lett. **92**, 122501 (2008).
- ⁴L. Li, K. Nishimura, and H. Yamane, Appl. Phys. Lett. **94**, 102509 (2009).
- ⁵V. Provenzano, A. J. Shapiro, and R. D. Shull, Nature (London) **429**, 853 (2004).
- ⁶N. S. Bingham, M. H. Phan, H. Srikanth, M. A. Torija, and C. Leighton, J. Appl. Phys. **106**, 023909 (2009).
- ⁷L. E. Hueso, P. Sande, D. R. Miguéns, J. Rivas, F. Rivadulla, and M. A. López-Quintela, J. Appl. Phys. **91**, 9943 (2002).
- ⁸P. Poddar, J. Gass, D. J. Rebar, S. Srinath, H. Srikanth, S. A. Morrison, and E. E. Carpenter, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **307**, 227 (2006).
- ⁹M. H. Phan, M. B. Morales, C. N. Chinnasamy, B. Latha, V. G. Harris, and H. Srikanth, J. Phys. D **42**, 115007 (2009).
- ¹⁰M. H. Phan, M. B. Morales, N. S. Bingham, H. Srikanth, C. L. Zhang, and S.-W. Cheong, Phys. Rev. B **81**, 094413 (2010).
- ¹¹A. L. Lima Sharma, P. A. Sharma, S. K. McCall, S.-B. Kim, and S.-W. Cheong, Appl. Phys. Lett. **95**, 092506 (2009).
- ¹²A. Biswas, T. Samanta, S. Banerjee, and I. Das, Appl. Phys. Lett. **92**, 012502 (2008).
- ¹³A. Biswas, T. Samanta, S. Banerjee, and I. Das, Appl. Phys. Lett. 92, 212502 (2008).
- ¹⁴V. S. Kumar and R. Mahendiran, Solid State Commun. 150, 1445 (2010).
- ¹⁵S. Karmakar, E. Bose, S. Taran, B. K. Chaudhuri, C. P. Sun, and H. D. Yang, J. Appl. Phys. **103**, 023901 (2008).