

ON THE NORMS OF SOME PROJECTIONS*

Boris Shekhtman
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Riverside, CA 92521

I. Introduction

In this note we summarize some results about the norms of the projections onto subspaces that are natural in Approximation Theory. We are mostly concerned with the subspaces in $C(K)$ spaces although most of the results are also valid for $L_1(K)$ spaces.

We use the usual notations: $\lambda(X)$ for the projectional constant of X and $d(X, Y)$ for the Banach-Mazur distance.

II. Preliminary Results

In this section we collect some technical results that we list as propositions.

Proposition 1 (Olevskii). Let (K, μ) be a probability space and $(\varphi_j)_{j=1}^\infty$ be an orthonormal system in $L_2(\mu)$. Suppose in addition $\|\varphi_j\|_\infty = O(1)$. Then for the projection F_n given by

$$F_n f = \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\int \bar{\varphi}_j \cdot f d\mu \right) \varphi_j$$

acting from $L_\infty(\mu) \rightarrow L_\infty(\mu)$, we have $\limsup \frac{\|F_n\|}{\log n} > 0$.

Proposition 2. Let $(\varphi_j)_{j=1}^n$ be a sequence of functions from $L_\infty(\mu)$ where μ is a probability measure. Let $(\eta_j)_{j=1}^n$ be a sequence of positive numbers and let f be a norm one positive functional on $L_\infty(\mu)$ such that $f(|\sum c_j \varphi_j|) \geq \sum \eta_j |c_j|$ for any set of real numbers (c_j) . Consider an operator $A : L_\infty(\mu) \rightarrow L_\infty(\mu)$ given by $A_n = \sum \mu_j \otimes \varphi_j$ where $\mu_j \in [L_\infty(\mu)]^*$. Then $\|A_n\| \geq \sum \eta_j \|\mu_j\|$.

* This research was supported by National Science Foundation Grant MCS-8301646.

Proof: Let σ be a probability measure corresponding to f . Let $\nu = \sum |\mu_j|/2^j$. Then there are functions $g_j \in L_1(\nu)$ so that

$$A_n x = \int (\sum g_j(s) \varphi_j) x(s) d\nu$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|A_n\| &= \mu - \text{ess sup}_t \int |\sum g_j(s) \varphi_j(t)| d\nu(s) \\ &\geq \int \int |\sum g_j(s) \varphi_j(t)| d\nu(s) d\sigma(t) \\ &= \int [\int |\sum g_j(s) \varphi_j(t)| d\sigma(t)] d\nu(s) \\ &\geq \int (\sum \eta_j |g_j(s)|) d\nu(s) \\ &= \sum \eta_j \|\mu_j\|. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3. Let G be a compact connected group. Let $(\varphi_j)_{j=1}^n$ be an increasing (with respect to an order on \hat{G}) sequence of continuous characters on G . Then there exists a universal constant C such that

$$\int |\sum_{j=1}^n c_j \varphi_j| d\mu \geq C \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{j} |c_j|$$

for any sequence (c_j) .

Proof: The proof is the same as in the case $G = \mathbf{T}$. It consists of the construction of the function $F \in C(G)$ so that $\|F\|_\infty \leq 1$, $\int \bar{F} \varphi d\mu = 0$ for $\varphi > \varphi_n$ and $\text{Re}[c_j \cdot \int \bar{F} \varphi_j d\mu] \geq C \frac{|c_j|}{j}$. As in [1] we represent $(\varphi_j) = \cup (\varphi_j)_{j=n_k}^{n_{k+1}}$ so that $n_{k+1} - n_k = 4^k$ and construct $F_k = \frac{1}{4^k} \sum_{j=n_k}^{n_{k+1}} \varphi_j \cdot d_j$ where $d_j = \frac{c_j}{|c_j|}$. Let h_k be a harmonic conjugate (with respect to the linear order in \hat{G}) to the function $\frac{1}{4} |F_k|$. We define $G_0 = F_0$, $G_{j+1} = G_j e^{-h_{j+1}} + F_{j+1}$ and $F = G_n$. The proof that the function F has the desired properties is identical with the one in [1].

III. Projections Onto Translation-invariant Subspaces

In this section we give some immediate corollaries from Propositions 1-3.

Theorem 1. Let G be a compact connected group and $(\varphi_j)_{j=1}^n$ be a set of continuous characters on G . Then there exists a constant C so that

$$\lambda([\varphi_j]_{j=1}^n) \geq C \cdot \log n.$$

Proof: The combination of Propositions 2 and 3 is the proof.

Remark 1. It follows immediately from this theorem that

$$\lambda([\varphi_j]_{j=1}^n) \leq d([\varphi_j], \ell_\infty^{(n)}) \leq e^{\lambda([\varphi_j])}.$$

Now consider G to be the unit circle and $\varphi_j = z^{\lambda_j}$, where (λ_j) is a sequence of integers. It is well known that if $\lambda_j = j$, then $\lambda([\varphi_j]_{j=1}^n) \sim \log n$. If (λ_j) is a lacunary sequence then $\lambda([\varphi_j]_{j=1}^n) \sim \sqrt{n}$. In the latter case, $d([\varphi_j], \ell_\infty^{(n)}) \sim \sqrt{n}$ also. A similar estimate can be done for $\lambda_j = j$.

Theorem 2. $d([z^j]_{j=1}^n, \ell_\infty^{(n)}) \sim \lambda([z^j]_{j=1}^n) \sim \log n$.

Proof: We only have to prove that $d([z^j], \ell_\infty^{(n)}) \leq C \cdot \log n$. Let $P_n = \sum \delta_{z_j} \otimes \varphi_j$ be an interpolating projection onto $[z^j]$ that interpolates on the roots of unity. Then for any sequence of numbers a_j , we have

$$\max |a_j| = \max_j |\delta_{z_j}(\sum a_j \varphi_j)| \leq \|\sum a_j \varphi_j\|.$$

On the other hand let f be a function of norm $\max |a_j|$ so that $f(z_j) = a_j$, then

$$\|\sum a_j \varphi_j\| = \|P_n f\| \leq \|P_n\| \max |a_j|,$$

but the norm of $\|P_n\|$ is known to grow as $\log n$.

We now turn our attention to the more general groups.

Theorem 3. Let G be a compact group. Let $(\varphi_j)_1^n \subset \hat{G}$. Let $F_n = \sum_1^n \varphi_j \otimes \varphi_j$. Then $\|F_n\| = \int |\sum \varphi_j| d\mu = \lambda([\varphi_j]_{j=1}^n)$.

Proof: Following the standard argument, let P_n be an arbitrary projection from $C(G)$ onto $[\varphi_j]$. For every $s \in G$ define $T_s : C(G) \rightarrow C(G)$ by $(T_s x)(t) = x(t \cdot s)$, $\forall t \in G$. Then it is easy to check that

$$(F_n f)(t) = \int (T_{s^{-1}} P_n T_s f) d\mu_s$$

and hence $\|P_n\| \geq \|F_n\|$.

Theorem 4. Let G be a compact group such that the identity component of G has finite index m . Then there exists a constant C_m depending on m only, such that

$$\lambda([\varphi_j]_{j=1}^n) \geq C_m \cdot \log n.$$

Proof: It follows that the dual group has exactly m elements of finite order. Now factoring it out and using Proposition 3, we get

$$\int \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \varphi_j \right| d\mu \geq C_m \cdot \log n \quad (n > m).$$

If \hat{G} has an infinite torsion subgroup, then Theorem 4 does not hold.

Theorem 5. Let $\Gamma \subset \hat{G}$ be a finite subgroup of the dual of an arbitrary compact group G . Then

$$\lambda([\varphi]_{\varphi \in \Gamma}) = d([\varphi], \ell_{\infty}^{(\#\Gamma)}) = 1.$$

Proof: For every $\varphi_0 \in \Gamma$ we have $\sum_{\varphi \in \Gamma} \varphi = \varphi_0 \cdot \sum_{\varphi \in \Gamma} \varphi$. Hence for every point $t \in G$ we have either $\sum_{\varphi \in \Gamma} \varphi(t) = 0$ or $\varphi(t) = 1$ for all $\varphi \in \Gamma$. One way or the other, $\sum_{\varphi \in \Gamma} \varphi \geq 0$ and using Theorem 3, we get $\lambda([\varphi]) = \int (\sum_{\varphi \in \Gamma} \varphi) d\mu = 1$.

This situation comes up when considering the Walsh functions or more generally, any periodic multiplicative system. Let $(\varphi_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ be such a system. Then (φ_j) can be modeled as the set of characters on some compact group. The group generated by (φ_j) has infinite torsion subgroups since $\varphi_j^2 = \varphi_j$. By Theorem 3 and Proposition 1 we

conclude that $\limsup \lambda([\varphi_j]_{j=1}^n) \rightarrow \infty$; yet, Theorem 5 shows that the "lim sup" in this statement cannot be replaced by "lim."

IV. Projections Onto Algebraic Polynomials

In this section we turn our attention to the subspaces of algebraic polynomials on the real interval $[a, b]$.

Theorem 6. Let $(\lambda_j)_{j=1}^n$ be an increasing sequence of integers. Then there exists a constant C such that

$$\lambda([\cos \lambda_j \theta]_{j=1}^n) \geq C \cdot \log n$$

$$\lambda([\sin \lambda_j \theta]_{j=1}^n) \geq C \cdot \log n.$$

Proof: We would like to estimate the sum $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |\sum a_j \cos \lambda_j \theta| d\theta$. Using $\cos \lambda_j \theta = (e^{i\lambda_j \theta} + e^{-i\lambda_j \theta})/2$ and Proposition 3, we easily get a constant C such that

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |\sum_{j=1}^n a_j \cos \lambda_j \theta| d\theta \geq C \cdot \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{|a_j|}{n-j}.$$

For an arbitrary projection $P_n = \sum \mu_j \otimes \cos \lambda_j \theta$, we have $\mu_j(\cos \lambda_j \theta) = 1$ and hence $\|\mu_j\| \geq 1$. Now by Proposition 2 we obtain the first inequality. The second one can be obtained in the same way.

Corollary 1. Let T_j and U_j be Chebyshev polynomials of the first and second kind on an arbitrary interval $[a, b]$. Let $(\lambda_j)_{j=1}^n$ be a sequence of integers. Then

$$\lambda([T_{\lambda_j}]_{j=1}^n) \geq C \cdot \log n$$

$$\lambda([U_{\lambda_j}]_{j=1}^n) \geq C \cdot \log n.$$

Proof: It follows from the fact that the distances $d([T_{\lambda_j}]^n, [\cos \lambda_j \theta]^n)$ and $d([U_{\lambda_j}]^n, [\sin \lambda_j \theta]^n)$ are bounded uniformly in n and (λ_j) .

Corollary 2. Consider $[t^j]_{j=0}^n \subset C_{[a,b]}$. Then

$$\lambda([t^j]_{j=0}^n) \sim d([t^j]_{j=0}^n, \ell_{\infty}^{(n+1)}) \sim \log n.$$

Proof: Since $[t^j]_{j=0}^n = [T_j]_{j=0}^n$ we have $\lambda([t^j]) = \lambda([T_j]) \geq C \cdot \log n$ and hence $d([t^j], \ell_\infty^{(n+1)}) \geq C \log n$.

To prove the reverse inequality, we again consider the interpolating projection P_n from $C_{[a,b]} \rightarrow [t^j]_{j=0}^n$ that interpolates at the zeros of the T_{n+1} . The norm of P_n is known to grow as $\log n$. The rest of the argument is the same as in Theorem 2.

In view of Corollaries 1 and 2, one is tempted to ask:

Problem 1. Does Corollary 1 hold for other sequences of orthogonal polynomials?

Problem 2. Does Corollary 2 hold if we replace $[t^j]_{j=0}^n$ by $[t^{\lambda_j}]_{j=1}^n$ for some (λ_j) ?

In the rest of the section we will describe some results about Problem 2. Proposition 4 is a quick consequence of Corollary 2.

Proposition 4. Let $\lambda_j \leq j + o(\log^2 j)$. Then $\lambda([t^{\lambda_j}]_{j=1}^n) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof: Let $M = [t^{\lambda_j}]_{j=1}^n$ and P_n be a projection from $C_{[0,1]}$ onto $[t^{\lambda_j}]_{j=1}^n$. Then $M = [t^{\lambda_j}]_{j=1}^n \oplus N$, where $N = \ker(P_n|_M)$; $\dim N \leq o(\log^2 n)$. Then there exists a projection Q_n from $C_{[0,1]}$ onto N with the norm $\|Q_n\| \leq o(\log n)$. It is easy to see that $P_n + Q_n - Q_n P_n$ is a projection onto M , and by Corollary 2 we have

$$\log \lambda_n \leq \|P_n + Q_n - Q_n P_n\| \leq (1 + \|P_n\|)\|Q_n\|.$$

Hence $1 + \|P_n\| \geq \frac{\log \lambda_n}{\|Q_n\|} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Next we consider one very special case.

Theorem: Let $\lambda_j = j^2$. Then $\lambda([t^{\lambda_j}]_{j=1}^n) \geq C \cdot \log n$.

Proof: Without loss of generality we can assume $t = \cos \theta$. Then any projection P_n from $C_{[-\pi, \pi]}$ onto $[\cos^{\lambda_j} \theta]_{j=1}^n$ can be written as

$$P_n = \sum \mu_j \otimes \cos^{\lambda_j} \theta.$$

On the other hand, $\cos \theta = \frac{e^{i\theta} + e^{-i\theta}}{2}$. Hence

$$\cos^k \theta = \frac{1}{2^k} \sum \binom{k}{j} e^{i(k-2j)\theta}. \quad (1)$$

Therefore the projection P_n can be written as

$$P_n = \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda_n} \nu_j \otimes e^{i(\lambda_n - 2j)\theta},$$

where ν_j are some linear combinations of μ_j . By Propositions 2 and 3 we get $\|P_n\| \geq \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda_n} \frac{1}{j} \|\nu_j\|$, and the proof boils down to estimating the norms $\|\nu_j\|$.

For sufficiently large n and m , let

$$\frac{m^2}{2} - \frac{m}{2} \leq j \leq \frac{m^2}{2} + \frac{m}{2}. \quad (2)$$

At this point we have to remark that for sufficiently large k , the coefficients in the polynomial (1) are distributed almost normally and thus there are $\approx \sqrt{k}$ coefficients that are of size $\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}$ (we will call them essential) and the rest are negligible.

Now we estimate

$$\mu_j (\cos^{m^2} \theta - \cos^{(m-1)^2} \theta).$$

Since P_n is a projection, this is the j -th coefficient in the polynomial $\cos^{m^2} \theta - \cos^{(m-1)^2} \theta$. By (2) this coefficient is $\sim \frac{1}{m}$ since the corresponding coefficient in $\cos^{(m-1)^2} \theta$ is negligible. Thus $\mu_j (\cos^{m^2} \theta - \cos^{(m-1)^2} \theta) = \frac{1}{m}$. On the other hand,

$$\|\cos^{m^2} \theta - \cos^{(m-1)^2} \theta\| \sim \frac{1}{m}$$

and $\|\mu_j\| \geq 1$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(m^2-m)/2}^{(m^2+m)/2} \frac{1}{j} \|\mu_j\| &\sim \ell n \frac{m+1}{m-1} = \ell n \left[\left(1 + \frac{2}{m-1}\right)^{\frac{m-1}{2}, \frac{2}{m-1}} \right] \\ &= \frac{2}{m-1} \ell n \left(\left[1 + \frac{2}{m-1}\right]^{\frac{m-1}{2}} \right) \sim \frac{2}{m-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\|P_n\| \geq \sum_{m>N}^n \frac{2}{m-1} \sim \log n$.

Similarly to Proposition 4, we can obtain Proposition 5.

Proposition 5. Let $|\lambda_j - j^2| \leq o(\log^2 j)$. Then $\lambda([t^{\lambda_j}]_{j=1}^n) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

In Section III we observed that the projectional constant of the complex polynomials is the largest when (λ_j) is lacunary. The algebraic polynomials exhibit the opposite behavior.

Proposition 6. Let (λ_j) be lacunary; then

$$\lambda([t^{\lambda_j}]_j^n = 1) \leq d([t^{\lambda_j}]_{j=1}^n, \ell_\infty^{(n)}) \leq O(1).$$

Proof: Our proof follows from the results of [2], where it is proved that (t^{λ_j}) spans a copy of c_0 and forms a basis in its span.

I am grateful to Professors W. Johnson and L. Harper for many useful suggestions.

REFERENCES

1. McGehee, O. C., L. Pigno, and B. Smith, "Hardy's inequality and the L^1 norm of exponential sums," *Annals of Math.* **113**(1981), 613-618.
2. Gurarii, V. I., and V. I. Macaev, "Lacunary power series in the spaces C and L_p ," *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* **30**(1966), 3-14.