

Discrete Approximating Operators on Function Algebras

Boris Shekhtman

Abstract. We give a new presentation and various extensions of one theorem of Somorjai. For any sequence of operators L_n , given by $L_n f = \sum_{k=1}^n f(z_{n,k}) l_{n,k}$ with $z_{n,k} \in \mathbb{T}$ and $l_{n,k} \in A(\mathbb{T})$, there exists a function $f \in A(\mathbb{T})$ such that $L_n f$ does not converge to f .

1. Introduction

The main purpose of this paper is to give a new presentation, as well as some extensions, of the result obtained in Somorjai's paper [So].

Let $\mathbb{T} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$ be the unit circle and let $A(\mathbb{T})$ be the disk algebra. Linear operators $L_n: A(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow A(\mathbb{T})$ are called discrete if they are of the form

$$(1.1) \quad L_n f = \sum_{k=1}^n f(z_{n,k}) l_{n,k},$$

where $z_{n,k} \in \mathbb{T}$; $l_{n,k} \in A(\mathbb{T})$. Somorjai [So] gave an elegant proof that for any sequence $\{L_n\}$ of discrete operators, there exists a function $f \in A(\mathbb{T})$ such that $L_n f$ does not converge to f in the topology of $A(\mathbb{T})$. The proof uses the translation-invariant property of $A(\mathbb{T})$.

Our analysis traces this result to some Banach space properties of $A(\mathbb{T})$, hence lends itself to extensions of the theorem to more general domains and more general function algebras. We consider (using the Rudin–Carleson theorem) L_n as a composition of two maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A(\mathbb{T}) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & A(\mathbb{T}) \\ & \searrow A_n \quad \nearrow U_n & \\ & \ell_\infty^n & \end{array}$$

where

$$A_n f = \{f(z_{n,k})\} \in \ell_\infty^n; \quad U_n \{\zeta_k\} = \sum_{k=1}^n \zeta_k l_{n,k} \in A(\mathbb{T}).$$

Date received: November 15, 1990. Date revised: August 5, 1991. Communicated by J. Milne Anderson.

AMS classification: 41A45, 46B07, 46J10, 47B38.

Key words and phrases: Discrete operators, L_∞ -space, the F. and M. Riesz theorem.

Thus L_n has a natural factorization through l_∞ space. An easy proposition shows that if a sequence of operators L_n on a Banach space X factors through l_∞ and serves as a nice approximation on X (i.e., $L_n x \rightarrow x$ for all $x \in X$), then X must inherit certain properties of l_∞ , namely X must be an \mathcal{L}_∞ space. The Somorjai result follows from the fact that $A(\mathbf{T})$ is not an \mathcal{L}_∞ space.

In the next section we observe some simple facts related to approximation by operators that factor through \mathcal{L}_∞ spaces. In Section 3 we consider approximation on various subspaces $X \subset C(K)$ for which some analogs of the F. and M. Riesz theorem holds. On the one hand, this theorem implies the Rudin–Carleson theorem (see [B2]) and hence gives us the factorization of operators. On the other hand, it implies (see [P2], Corollary 5.1) that the space X is not an \mathcal{L}_∞ space.

We use the rest of this section to state the theorem of Somorjai in full strength.

Definition 1. Let X be a subspace of $C(K)$. Let L be a linear operator on X and let $H \subset K$ be a subset of K . We say that L is determined on H if $Lf = Lg$ for all $f, g \in X$, such that $f(k) = g(k)$ for all $k \in H$ (i.e., $f|_H = g|_H$).

Theorem 1 (see [So]). Let H_n be closed subsets of \mathbf{T} of Lebesgue measure zero. Let $L_n: A(\mathbf{T}) \rightarrow A(\mathbf{T})$ be linear operators that are determined on H_n . Then there exists a function $f \in A(\mathbf{T})$ such that $L_n f$ does not converge to f .

2. \mathcal{L}_∞ Spaces

We use l_∞^n to denote \mathbb{C}^n equipped with the norm $\|(x_j)\|_\infty = \max |x_j|$. We define the Banach–Mazur distance from an arbitrary n -dimensional Banach space E to l_∞^n as

$$d(E, l_\infty^n) = \inf\{\|T\|\|T^{-1}\|: T \text{ is an isomorphism from } E \text{ onto } l_\infty^n\}.$$

It is well known (see [LT]) that

$$(2.1) \quad d(E, l_\infty^n) \leq n$$

for all E .

The next proposition is also well known (see [LT]).

Proposition 1. Let E be an n -dimensional subspace of a Banach space X . Then there exists a projection P from X onto E such that

$$(2.2) \quad \|P\| \leq d(E, l_\infty^n).$$

Definition 2. Let $1 \leq \lambda < \infty$. A Banach space X is said to be an $\mathcal{L}_{\infty, \lambda}$ space if for every finite dimensional subspace $E \subset X$ there exists a finite dimensional subspace $F \subset X$ such that $E \subset F$ and

$$d(F, l_\infty^m) \leq \lambda \quad \text{where } m = \dim F.$$

A Banach space X is an \mathcal{L}_∞ space if X is an $\mathcal{L}_{\infty, \lambda}$ space for some $\lambda < \infty$.

Remark 1 (see [LR]). For every $\varepsilon > 0$ the spaces l_∞ , $C(K)$, $L_\infty(\mu)$ are $\mathcal{L}_{\infty,1+\varepsilon}$ spaces.

Remark 2 (see [LT], II.3.1). Let X be a separable Banach space. Then X is an $\mathcal{L}_{\infty,\lambda}$ space if and only if $X = \overline{UE_n}$ where $\dim E_n = n$, $E_n \subset E_{n+1} \subset X$, and $d(E_n, l_\infty^n) < \lambda$.

Theorem 2 (see [LR], Theorem 4.3). A Banach space X is an \mathcal{L}_∞ space if and only if there exist constants $\lambda, K \geq 1$, such that for every finite dimensional subspace $E \subset X$ there exists an $\mathcal{L}_{\infty,\lambda}$ space Y and operators $A: E \rightarrow Y$, $B: Y \rightarrow X$ such that $\|A\|\|B\| \leq K$ and $BAe = e$ for all $e \in E$.

The main tool in our investigation is the following:

Theorem 3. A Banach space X is an \mathcal{L}_∞ space if and only if there exists $\lambda \geq 1$, $K \geq 1$, a sequence of $\mathcal{L}_{\infty,\lambda}$ spaces Y_n , and a sequence of linear operators $A_n: X \rightarrow Y_n$ and $U_n: Y_n \rightarrow X$ such that

$$U_n A_n x \rightarrow x \quad \text{for all } x \in X$$

and $\|U_n\|\|A_n\| \leq K$.

Proof. If X is an \mathcal{L}_∞ space we choose $Y_n = X$; $A_n = U_n = I$. Conversely, let E be a finite dimensional subspace of X with $\dim E = N$. We use a standard perturbation argument (see [LT], p. 198). By (2.2) there exists a basis $e_1, \dots, e_N \in E$ so that

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \max |\lambda_j| \leq \|\sum \lambda_j e_j\| \leq \sqrt{N} \max |\lambda_j|$$

for all choices of $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $1 > \delta > 0$. Pick $\varepsilon = \delta/2N^{3/2}$ and choose n so large that for $f_j := U_n A_n e_j$ we have $\|f_j - e_j\| < \varepsilon$. From

$$\|\sum \lambda_j (f_j - e_j)\| \leq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{N}} \max |\lambda_j| \leq \|\sum \lambda_j e_j\|$$

it now follows that

$$(2.4) \quad \frac{1}{2\sqrt{N}} \max |\lambda_j| \leq \|\sum \lambda_j f_j\| \leq 2\sqrt{N} \max |\lambda_j|.$$

Let $F = \text{span}\{f_j\} \subset X$. Define functionals $\tilde{\mu}_k$ on F by $\tilde{\mu}_k(f_j) = \delta_{kj}$, $k = 1, \dots, N$, $j = 1, \dots, N$. By (2.4)

$$(2.5) \quad \|\tilde{\mu}_k\| \leq 2\sqrt{N}.$$

Let μ_k be Hahn-Banach extensions of $\tilde{\mu}_k$ onto X . Define $T: X \rightarrow X$ by

$$(2.6) \quad Tx = x + \sum \mu_k(x)(e_k - f_k).$$

Observe that $Tf_k = e_k$ for all $k = 1, \dots, N$. It also follows from (2.6) that

$$\|Tx\| \leq (1 + \delta)\|x\|.$$

Hence the operators $A := A_n$ and $B := TU_n$ satisfy the condition of Theorem 2 and X is an \mathcal{L}_∞ space. ■

For convenience we introduce:

Definition 3. Let X be a subspace of a Banach space Y . We say that X is *near-complemented* in Y if there exists a sequence of operators $L_n: Y \rightarrow X$ such that $\|L_n\|$ are uniformly bounded and $L_n x \rightarrow x$ for all $x \in X$. We say that X is *locally complemented* in Y if there exists a sequence of finite dimensional operators $L_n: Y \rightarrow X$ such that $\|L_n\|$ are uniformly bounded and $L_n x \rightarrow x$ for every $x \in X$.

Theorem 4. Let K be a compact metric space and let X be a subspace of $C(K)$. The following are equivalent:

- (a) X is an \mathcal{L}_∞ space;
- (b) X is locally complemented in $C(K)$;
- (c) X is near-complemented in $C(K)$.

Proof. If X is an \mathcal{L}_∞ space, then since X is separable there exists a sequence of spaces $E_n \subset E_{n+1} \subset X \subset C(K)$ such that $\overline{UE_n} = X$, $d(E_n, l_\infty^n) \leq \lambda$. By Proposition 1 we can find a sequence of projections P_n from $C(K)$ onto E_n such that $\|P_n\| \leq \lambda$. Clearly, $P_n x \rightarrow x$ for all $x \in X$. The implication (b) \Rightarrow (c) is trivial. To prove (c) \Rightarrow (a) let $J: X \rightarrow C(K)$ be a natural embedding. We now use Theorem 3 with $A_n = J$, $U_n = L_n$, and $Y_n = C(K)$. ■

Remark 3. If X is a complemented subspace of $C(K)$ then (see [LR], Theorem 3.2) it is an \mathcal{L}_∞ space. The converse to that statement does not hold. Indeed we can find (see [LT], Proposition II.4.40) a subspace $X \subset C_{[0,1]}$ which is an \mathcal{L}_∞ space yet has no complement in $C_{[0,1]}$. Hence the near-complemented subspaces form a larger class of subspaces than the complemented subspaces.

The argument in [So] and the remarks after the proof seem to indicate that all that was needed is the fact that $A(\mathbf{T})$ is not complemented in $C(\mathbf{T})$. This inconsistency with Theorem 4 can be explained by translation-invariant properties of $A(\mathbf{T})$. Indeed, for any compact abelian group G a translation invariant subspace $X \subset C(G)$ is complemented if and only if X is near-complemented if and only if X is an \mathcal{L}_∞ space if and only if X is spanned by the characters in the dual group G from a coset ring in \hat{G} (see [KP], pp. 311–312).

3. Extensions of Somorjai's Theorem

In this section we will extend Theorem 1 in several directions. The idea is to check that a given subspace $X \subset C(K)$ is not an \mathcal{L}_∞ space on the one hand, and X verifies

some analog of the Rudin–Carleson theorem on the other. Fortunately, there are conditions that imply both statements. One such condition is an F. and M. Riesz theorem. Here is a direct generalization of Theorem 1.

Theorem 5. *Let K be the closure of a domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ whose boundary Γ consists of a finite number of nonintersecting analytic closed curves. Let X be a subspace of $C(\Gamma)$ that consists of all functions in $C(\Gamma)$ that have analytic continuation in D . Let $H_n \subset \Gamma$ be closed sets of Lebesgue measure zero. Finally, let $L_n: X \rightarrow X$ be determined on H_n . Then there exists a function $f \in X$ such that $L_n f$ does not converge to f .*

Proof. Let μ be a regular Borel measure on X such that $\int f d\mu = 0$ for all $f \in X$. Then (see [R1], Theorem 3) the measure μ is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure. Now that implies (see [P2], Corollary 5.1) that X is not an \mathcal{L}_∞ space. On the other hand, the absolute continuity of μ also implies (see [B2]) that for any function $g \in C(H_n)$ there exists a function $f \in X$ such that $f(t) = g(t)$ for all $t \in H_n$ (i.e., $f|_{H_n} = g|_{H_n}$) and $\|f\| \leq \|g\|$. (This is the Rudin–Carleson theorem.)

Let $L_n: X \rightarrow X$ be determined on H_n . Then for each $g \in C(\Gamma)$ we can define $\tilde{L}_n g$ to be $L_n f$ where $f \in X$ is such that $g|_{H_n} = f|_{H_n}$. (Since L_n are determined on H_n the value $L_n f$ does not depend on the choice of f .) Hence $\|\tilde{L}_n\| = \|L_n\|$.

Suppose that $L_n f \rightarrow f$ for all $f \in X$. Then $\|L_n\|$ are uniformly bounded. Then the norms of $\tilde{L}_n: C(\Gamma) \rightarrow X$ are also uniformly bounded. If $\tilde{L}_n f \rightarrow f$ for all $f \in X$ then X is near-complemented and is hence an \mathcal{L}_∞ space. We have the desired contradiction. ■

Remark 4. The cited result of Pelczynski actually states that X does not have local unconditional structure. That clearly implies that X is not an \mathcal{L}_∞ space.

We now prove another generalization of Theorem 1 where the analyticity of the boundary is not required. Let $\bar{\mathbb{C}}$ denote the extended complex plane.

Theorem 6. *Let K be a compact set in \mathbb{C} with nonempty connected interior and connected complement such that the boundary Γ of K is accessible from the complement $G := \bar{\mathbb{C}} \setminus K$ through Jordan curves, i.e., every point $z \in \Gamma$ is the endpoint of the Jordan curves contained in $G \cup \{z\}$. Let $A(\Gamma)$ be the subalgebra of $C(\Gamma)$ of functions analytic in the interior of K . Then for every sequence of operators L_n defined by*

$$(3.1) \quad L_n f = \sum_{k=1}^n f(z_{n,k}) l_{n,k}, \quad z_{n,k} \in \Gamma, \quad l_{n,k} \in A(\Gamma),$$

there exists a function $f \in A(\Gamma)$ such that $L_n f$ does not converge to f .

Proof. It follows from the Rudin–Carleson theorem and the Carathéodory extension method (see [S–To], Proof of Lemma 1) that for any finite sequence of points $z_1, \dots, z_n \in \Gamma$ and for any set of complex numbers $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\alpha_j| \leq 1$, there exists a function $f \in A(\Gamma)$ such that $f(z_j) = \alpha_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$ and $\|f\| \leq 1$.

Since the operators L_n are determined on the sets $\{z_{n,1}, \dots, z_{n,n}\}$ they can be extended to operators \tilde{L}_n on $C(\Gamma)$ such that $\|\tilde{L}_n\| \leq \|L_n\|$. Hence, if $L_n f \rightarrow f$ for all $f \in A(\Gamma)$, then $A(\Gamma)$ is near-complemented in $C(\Gamma)$ and thus is an \mathcal{L}_∞ space. On the other hand, Bishop (see [B1], Theorem 3) proved an analog of the F. and M. Riesz theorem for $A(\Gamma)$, and using the same result of Pelczynski (see [P2], Corollary 5.1) we learn that $A(\Gamma)$ is not an \mathcal{L}_∞ space. ■

Our final result extends Theorem 1 to several variables.

Theorem 7. Let $U^N = \{(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^N : |z_j| < 1\}$. Let $A(U^N)$ be the algebra of all functions which are holomorphic in the polydisk U^N and continuous on its closure \bar{U}^N .

Let $H_n \subset H_1^n \times H_2^n \times \dots \times H_N^n$ where H_j^n are closed subsets of \mathbb{T} with Lebesgue measure zero. Let operators $L_n: A(U^N) \rightarrow A(U^N)$ be determined on H_n . Then there exists a function $f \in A(U^N)$ such that $L_n f$ do not converge to f .

Proof. An appropriate analog of the Rudin–Carleson theorem can be found in [R2], Example 6.3(8). The fact that $A(U^N)$ is not an \mathcal{L}_∞ space is proved in [P2], Theorem 11.2. ■

Remark 5. More exotic extensions of Theorem 1 can be obtained by combining the “Main Theorem” and its corollaries in [P1] with the results of Sections 5, 10, and 11 of [P2].

The extensions of Theorem 1 to certain translation-invariant subspaces on general compact Abelian groups can be obtained using the results in [KP], Section 2, in combination with the extensions of the F. and M. Riesz theorem (see [DLG]) as well as with Corollaries 1 and 2 of [P1].

References

- [B1] E. BISHOP (1958): *On measures orthogonal to polynomials*. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., **44**:278–280.
- [B2] E. BISHOP (1958): *A general Rudin–Carleson theorem*. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., **44**:140–143.
- [DLG] K. DE LEEUW, I. GLICKSBERG (1963): *Quasi-invariants and analyticity of measure on compact groups*. Acta Math., **109**:179–205.
- [KP] S. KWAPIEN, A. PELCZYNSKI (1980): *Absolutely summing operators and translation invariant spaces of functions on compact abelian groups*. Math. Nachr., **94**:303–340.
- [LR] T. LINDENSTRAUSS, H. ROSENTHAL (1969): *The \mathcal{L}_p spaces*, Israel J. Math., **7**:325–349.
- [LT] T. LINDENSTRAUSS, L. TSAFRIRI (1973): *Classical Banach Spaces*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, No. 338. Berlin: Springer-Verlag.
- [P1] A. PELCZYNSKI (1964): *On simultaneous extensions of continuous functions*. Studia Math., **XXIV**:285–304.
- [P2] A. PELCZYNSKI (1977): *Banach spaces of analytic functions and absolutely summing operators*. CBMS Regional Conf. Ser. in Math., Vol. 30. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society.
- [R1] W. RUDIN (1953): *Analyticity and the maximum modulus principle*. Duke Math. J., **20**:449–458.
- [R2] W. RUDIN (1969): *Function Theory in Polydiscs*. Mathematics Lecture Note Series. New York: W. A. BENJAMIN.

- [S-To] E. SAFF, V. TOTIK (1988): *Behavior of polynomials of best uniform approximation*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **316**:567–593.
- [So] G. SOMORJAI (1980): *On discrete operators in the function space A*. Constructive Function Theory 77, Sofia, pp. 489–496.

B. Shekhtman
Department of Mathematics
University of South Florida
Tampa
Florida 33620
U.S.A.