

SOME IDEMPOTENT MATRICES OF LARGE RANK

by Boris SHEKHTMAN

Dept. of Math., Univ. of South Florida, Tampa, FL 33620, USA

AMS Subject classification 41A45

Abstract We investigate the entries of idempotent matrices of large rank. In particular, we construct an example of a sequence of such matrices so that all the entries in most of the rows tend to zero. That answers a question previously raised by the author.

1. Motivation

Let \tilde{P} be a projection from $C(-\pi, \pi)$ into itself such that the range of \tilde{P} is an n -dimensional subspace of $\text{span}[e^{i\lambda_1\theta}, \dots, e^{i\lambda_m\theta}]$, where $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \dots < \lambda_m$ are arbitrary integers. Throughout this note we will assume that

$$m := m(n) = n + q(n),$$

where $q(n)/n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

We wish to estimate the norm of \tilde{P} from below. The desired conclusion (cf. [2,5,4])

$$\|\tilde{P}\| \geq c \log \frac{n}{q(n)}.$$

Each \tilde{P} can be written as

$$\tilde{P}f = \sum_{j=1}^m \left(\int f d\mu_j \right) e^{i\lambda_j\theta}$$

where $\mu_j - \delta$ are regular Borel measures on $(-\pi, \pi)$.

Using the Littlewood inequality (cf. [3]) we obtain

$$\|\tilde{P}\| \geq c \sum_{j=1}^m \|\mu_j\|/j.$$

Hence further estimates depend on the bounds for the norms $\|\mu_j\|$.

It is easy to observe that the $m \times m$ matrix $P = (p_{k,j})$; $k, j = 1, \dots, m$, with $p_{k,j} = \mu_k(e^{i\lambda_j\theta})$ is an idempotent matrix of rank n .

The estimate (1.1) implies

$$(1.2) \quad \|\tilde{P}\| \geq c \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{1}{k} \max_j |p_{k,j}|.$$

Some time ago we conjectured (based on a very closely related Proposition 2 of [4]) that many rows of P contain an element of large size.

CONJECTURE 1. *Let $m(n) = n + q(n)$; $q(n)/n \rightarrow 0$. Then there exists a constant $c_0 > 0$ such that for any $m \times m$ idempotent matrix P of rank n there exist $n - q(n)$ rows $k_1, \dots, k_{n-q(n)}$ such that*

$$\max \{|p_{k_\ell, j}| : j = 1, \dots, m\} \geq c_0.$$

In the next section we give a counterexample to this conjecture and its consequences. In section three, we provide some positive results in this direction. First we need some notation.

Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be an $m \times n$ matrix. We use $\|A\|_{p,q}$ to denote the norm of an operator $A : \ell_p^{(n)} \rightarrow \ell_q^{(m)}$ induced by the matrix A . In particular

$$\|A\|_{1,\infty} = \max\{|a_{ij}| : i = 1, \dots, m, j = 1, \dots, n\}.$$

If $p = q$ we use $\|A\|_p := \|A\|_{p,p}$.

We use $\nu_{p,q}(A)$ to denote the nuclear norm (cf. [1], section 6.3.1) of an operator $A : \ell_p^{(n)} \rightarrow \ell_q^{(m)}$. Also $\nu_p(A) := \nu_{p,p}(A)$. In particular,

$$\nu_1(A) = \sum_{i=1}^m \max_j |a_{ij}| ; \quad \nu_\infty(A) = \sum_{j=1}^n \max_i |a_{ij}|.$$

Further properties of the nuclear norm can be found in [1].

2. The Main Example

We will need the following:

PROPOSITION 1 (cf. [1], section 11.11.11). *There exists an $n \times n$ matrix A such that rank $A = q(n)$ and*

$$\|I - A\|_{1,\infty} \leq 3 \left[\frac{\log(n+1)}{q(n)} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

With the aid of this proposition we can prove:

PROPOSITION 2. *There exists an idempotent $m \times m$ matrix P with rank $P = n$ such that the first n rows in P have entries*

$$|p_{ij}| \leq 3 \left[\frac{\log(n+1)}{q(n)} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

PROOF. Let A be the matrix of the Proposition 1. Then there exist a $q(n) \times n$ matrix B and an $n \times q(n)$ matrix C such that $A = CB$. For arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$ consider a block-matrix

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} I - CB & \epsilon C \\ \frac{1}{\epsilon} B(I - CB) & BC \end{bmatrix}.$$

It is easy to see that P is an $m \times m$ idempotent matrix with rank $P = n$. The first n rows of this matrix consist of the entries of the matrices $I - CB$ and ϵC . By Proposition 1, every entry of $I - CB$ satisfies the conclusion of the Proposition 2. Choosing ϵ sufficiently small we obtain the desired result. ■

COROLLARY 3. *The Conjecture 1 is false.*

PROOF. It suffices to choose P as in Proposition 2 with $q(n) = \log^2(n+1)$.

COROLLARY 4. *There exists a subspace $E \subset \ell_{\infty}^{(m)}$ such that $\text{codim } E \leq 2q(n)$ and for every projection Q on $\ell_{\infty}^{(m)}$ with $\text{Range } Q = E$ we have*

$$\|Q\| \geq c \frac{\sqrt{q(n)}}{\log^{\frac{1}{2}}(m+1)}.$$

PROOF. Let $G \subset \ell_{\infty}^{(m)}$ be a subspace of all vectors in $\ell_{\infty}^{(m)}$ such that the last $q(n)$ coordinates of these vectors are zero. Then $\text{codim } G = q(n)$. Let P be as in Proposition 2. Then

$$\text{codim Range } P^T < q(n).$$

Hence,

$$\text{codim}(\text{Range } P^T \cap G) \leq 2q(n).$$

Define $E := G \cap \text{Range } P^T$ and let Q be an arbitrary projection from $\ell_{\infty}^{(m)}$ onto E .

Consider a matrix P^1 with the first n columns being the same as in P^T and the last $q(n)$ columns being zero. Then for every $e \in E$

$$P^T e = P^1 e = e.$$

Hence for every $x \in \ell_\infty^{(m)}$

$$P^T Q x = P^1 Q x = x.$$

By trace-duality (cf. [1])

$$\nu_\infty(P^1) \|Q\|_\infty \geq \text{tr}(P^1 Q) = \text{tr} Q \geq m - 2q(n).$$

Since the modulus of every element in P^1 is less than $4\sqrt{\log(n+1)}/\sqrt{q(n)}$ we obtain

$$\nu_\infty(P^1) \leq 4m\sqrt{\log(n+1)}/\sqrt{q(n)},$$

and from $m/n \rightarrow 1$

$$\|Q\|_\infty \geq C\sqrt{2q(m)}/\sqrt{\log(m+1)},$$

for some absolute constant C .

Remark. It is known that for every $E \subset \ell_\infty^{(m)}$ with $\text{codim } E \leq 2q(n)$ there exists a projection Q onto E such that $\|Q\|_\infty \leq \sqrt{2q(n)} + 1$. It would be interesting to know if the factor $1/\sqrt{\log(m+1)}$ can be removed in Corollary 2.

3. Positive Results

While the Conjecture 1 does not hold, in general the situation changes if we restrict ourself to the case of symmetric matrices.

PROPOSITION 5. Let P be a symmetric $m \times m$ idempotent matrix with $\text{rank } P = n$. Then there exists $n - q(n)$ rows of $P : k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{n-q(n)}$ such that

$$(3.1) \quad \max\{p_{k_\ell, j} : j = 1, \dots, m\} \geq \frac{1}{2},$$

for $\ell = 1, \dots, n - q(n)$.

PROOF. Let $K = \{k : 1 \leq k \leq m \text{ and } \max\{p_{k, j} : j = 1, \dots, m\} \geq \frac{1}{2}\}$. Assume that

$$(3.2) \quad s := \#K < n - q(n),$$

and consider a new matrix $P^1 = (p_{k, j}^1)$ where

$$p_{km}^1 = \begin{cases} p_{k, j} & \text{if } k \notin K \text{ and } j \notin K, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Using (3.1) we obtain $|p_{k,j}^1| < \frac{1}{2}$ for all k, j . In order to prove the proposition we will contradict this inequality.

Observe that P is symmetric and idempotent, hence positive. Therefore, P^1 is also positive. Let $G = \{x = (x_1, \dots, x_m) \in C_m : x_k = 0 \text{ for all } k \notin K\}$. By assumption (3.2)

$$\text{codim } G = s < n - q(n),$$

while $\dim \text{Range } P = n$. Hence for $E := \text{Range } P \cap G$

$$\dim E > n - s.$$

Since P is an identity on E so is P^1 . Hence P^1 has at least $n - s$ eigenvalues equal to 1.

Since P^1 is positive, the rest of its eigenvalues are positive and hence

$$n - s \leq \text{tr } P^1 = \sum_{j=0}^m p_{jj}^1 \leq (n + q(n) - s) \max_{j \notin K} p_{jj}^1.$$

Thus by (3.2)

$$\max_{j \notin K} p_{jj}^1 \geq \frac{n - s}{n + q(n) - s} \geq \frac{1}{2}. \quad \blacksquare$$

Let $D = [1, \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{m}]$ be a diagonal $m \times m$ matrix. It is clear that the expression (1.2) can be rewritten as

$$\|\tilde{P}\| \geq c \cdot \nu_1(DP).$$

While we cannot evaluate this last expression from below, we finish this note with the following formally weaker inequality.

PROPOSITION 6. *Let P be an $m \times m$ idempotent matrix with $\text{rank } P = n$. Then*

$$\nu_2(DP) \geq c \cdot \log \frac{n}{q(n)},$$

for some absolute constant c .

PROOF. Let Q be an orthogonal projection from C_m onto the $\text{Range } P$. Then $PQ = Q$ and $\|Q\|_2 = 1$. Using the ideal property of the nuclear norm we have

$$\nu_2(DQ) = \nu_2(DPQ) \leq \nu_2(DP) \|Q\|_2.$$

Thus by Proposition 5

$$\nu_2(DP) \geq \nu_2(DQ) \geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n-q(n)} \frac{1}{k_j}.$$

Since $1 < k_j < n + q(n)$ we obtain the result. ■

I wish to thank Professors L. Tzafriri and W. Johnson for useful discussions of the Conjecture 1.

References

1. Pietsch, A., Operator Ideals. North-Holland, 1980.
2. Privaloff, A., On the Growth of the Degree of Polynomial Bases. Mat. Zametki 42 (1987), 207-214.
3. Shekhtman, B., On the Norms of Some Projections. Lecture Notes in Math. 1166, Springer-Verlag, 1985.
4. Shekhtman, B., On the Norms of Some Interpolating Operators. Israel J. Math. 64 (1988), 39-48.
5. Szabados, J., On the Norms of Certain Interpolating Operators. Anal. Math. (to appear).